



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI RINCHEN DORJEE, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

No.APIC-588/2022

Dated, Itanagar the 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024

**An Appeal under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act 2005**

**Appellant:** Shri Bake Kepo and Shri Taram Kenji, Chimpu near Saturday market  
Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791111.

Vs.

**Respondent:** The State Public Information Officer, O/o Directorate of Panchayat Raj,  
Itanagar, PIN-791111.

**ORDER**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Bake Kepo & Shri Taram Kenji, Chimpu near Saturday market Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the Public Information Officer, Govt. of A.P., O/o Directorate of Panchayati Raj, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 07/06/2022 regarding My Village My Development Plan under 14<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission (FFC) of entire Arunachal Pradesh.

**The 8<sup>th</sup> hearing is held today on 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024 as scheduled.** Shri Nabam Rajesh, the PIO-cum-Deputy Director (RE), Directorate of Panchayati Raj, Itanagar and the Appellant Shri Taram Kenji are present. The PIO reiterated that he has already furnished the information as sought for in 40(forty) cartoons during the 7<sup>th</sup> hearing, where appellant was absent stating that his brother mad with an accident and for that he has to attend the treatment of his brother, today the PIO has submitted with proof that on the day of 7<sup>th</sup> hearing the Appellant was roaming in the Airport, which means he was not in the hospital to look after his brother.

The appellant has submitted that he has tried to go through the information as provided by the PIO and found that the documents were not authenticated with the seal and signature of the PIO. The PIO submitted that he has to perform other developmental activities of the public and he cannot be engaged in only collecting and providing information to the appellant and as such authentication of forty cartoon documents is next to impossible.

The appellant was asked to find out the specific documents which required authentication but he insisted for authentication of whole document.

Contd..p..2

Considering the facts and circumstances and after going through the document available and submission of both the parties the commission made its conclusion that PIO has complied with the directions of the commission and furnished information as sought for, on the other hand the appellant has not come forward with specific information to be authenticated by the PIO, so the commission decides to dispose of the case.

**Therefore, the case is hereby disposed of.**

**Order copies be issued to all the parties.**

Sd/-

(Rinchen Dorjee)

State Chief Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Dated, Itanagar the 4 April, 2024

Memo No. APIC-588/2022/1423

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
2. Case file.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar