



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 528/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Bachunum Yun, Rock Land Tezu.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Khupa Division, Anjaw District.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Bachunum Yun for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Khupa Division, Anjaw District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 23.01.25.

1. Particulars of Information: Information regarding Allocation of Fund for Plan Activities under Budget Estimate 2023-24.

- a. Development of Mule Track for Indo-Myanmar Boarder for tracking route to Hot Pass in Anjaw District.
- b. Community Line Development at Sengsum area, under Manchal Circle, Anjaw District.
- c. C/o CC Steps at Sengsum, under Manchal Circle, Anjaw District.
- d. Construction of staff quarter and kitchen at Govt. Tourist Lodge at Hot Spring (Teelam) Anjaw District.
- e. Renovation and maintenance of main building at Govt. Tourist Lodge at Hot Spring (Teelam) Anjaw District.
- f. Renovation and maintenance of cottage at Govt. Tourist Lodge at Hot Spring (Teelam) Anjaw District.
- g. Renovation of Circuit House at Anjaw District.
- h. C/o road from PMGSY end point to GUPS, Halaikrong and Halaikrong village, Anjaw District.
- i. C/o CC pavement road with drainage at Pachailiang village in Anjaw District.
- j. Re-carpeting of Hayuliang Township road, Anjaw District.
- k. C/o returning wall at Dalai Bridge Point to protect bridge approach, Anjaw District.
- l. Re-carpeting of Changraliang village internal road, Anjaw District.
- m. C/o returning wall at Pachaliang village in Anjaw District.

2. Details of information required:

- a. Certified copy of Sanction Memo of the said work/scheme.
- b. Certified copy of Administrative Approval and expenditure sanction (AA & ES) copy.
- c. Certified copy of Technical Sanction (TS) copy.
- d. Certified Copy of NIT.
- e. Certified copy of name of the firm who did the said work/scheme.
- f. Certified copy of Letter of Award (LoA) and agreement between Executive Engineer and the firm Proprietor or Power of Attorney (PA) if applicable.
- g. Certified copy of name of all the firms who participated and submitted the Bids
 - i) Technical Bids
 - ii) Financial Bids.

- h. Certified copy of name of the firms qualified in Technical Bid and Participated in the Technical Bid along with Comparative Statement.
- i. Certified copy of Board Members of the Tender Processing.
- j. Certified copy of Bank Solvency Certificate of the Firm who did the work/scheme.
- k. Certified copy of affidavit of having not more than 2 (two) work in hand under the State Govt. by the firm.
- l. Certified copy of GST registration certificate, Income Tax clearance certificate, latest Audit report of last 3 (three) years duly certified/ Audited by the Chartered Accountant of the firm who did the work/scheme.
- m. Certified copy of Measurement book of the said work.
- n. Certified copy of First and Final bill of the said work.
- o. Certified copy of Cashbook Statement with page numbers or give hand receipt with Payee name of the said work.
- p. Certified copy of details of payment done through cheque/PFMS as mention in the First and Final bill.
- q. Certified copy of photograph with GPS Coordinates/Geo-tag of the said work, before starting, ongoing and after completion of the said work/scheme.
- r. Certified copy of design and drawing of the said work/scheme.
- s. Certified copy of utilization and completion certificate of the said work/scheme.
- t. Certified copy of name of EE/AE/JE who executed the said work/scheme/project.
- u. Certified copy of status of the work, if not completed give the specific reasons why not completed.
- v. Certified copy of duration of completion of the said work/project as per agreement and extension duration of the said work.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal:

Records in the appeal reveal that the appellant had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information but failed to obtain the same within the statutory period of one month which prompted him to file appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the Chief Engineer (PWD), Eastern Zone, Namsai Govt. of A.P under section 19(1) of the RTI Act vide his Memo of Appeal dt. 15.03.2025.

Records further reveal that the FAA, upon receipt of 3(three) appeals including the present one, observed that the PIO had failed to provide any information and also did not facilitate the physical verification as requested by the appellant. The FAA, vide his order dt.28.03.2025, had, thus, observing that the appellant had sought non-specific, vague and voluminous information at one go hampering the efficient functioning of the public servant, however, directed the PIO to take necessary action to furnish the requested information to the appellant.

The extract of the FAA's order is reproduced hereunder :

"This is regarding 03 (three) nos. of appeals received by this court of the First Appellate Authority on 24/03/2025 under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act- 2005 which is in reference to 03(three) nos. of original RTI applications all dated 23/01/2025 of Shri Bachunum Yun of Village Rock Line Tezu, P.O. /P.S.-Tezu, District-Lohit, (A.P.), which was reportedly submitted to your office.

It appears from the content of the appeals that no communication is made from your end. Also, you failed to provide any of the information along with physical verification sought [for 02(two) nos. of RTI applications) under 2(j) of the RTI Act 2005 by the applicant/appellant. It is also evident that the Applicant has sought non specific, vague & a vast number of information at a single time which is Impractical & obstructs the efficient functioning of a public authority.

However, it is also Important that the PIO must ensure clear and timely communication & furnishing of information within the stipulated time limit as per the provisions of the RTI Act -2005

As such, it is requested to take necessary action as sought by the Applicant/Appellant, as available/applicable/admissible under the provisions of the RTI Act-2005. A copy of appeal along with RTI application is enclosed for your necessary action, and to intimate the course of action taken to the undersigned within 15(fifteen) days of the receipt of this letter.

Enclosed: As above

Sd/-

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Engineer, Eastern Zone"

But the appellant, apparently, having failed yet again to obtain the information, preferred second appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act vide Appeal Memo dt. 02.06.2025.

Hearing and decision:

This appeal was, accordingly, listed and heard on 10.04.2026 wherein the PIO, Er. Shri Jikum Hiri, E.E appeared through VC while the appellant was present in person and this Commission, after hearing the parties, had passed the following order: ●
"The appellant, while reiterating his demand for the requested information /documents from the PIO, pleaded for an appropriate direction to the PIO to furnish the information as requested including taking penal action under the RTI Act. The PIO, on the other hand, submitted that upon receipt of the RTI applications from the appellant, his office had advised the appellant to deposit the cost of documents and collect the same but the appellant, instead of depositing and collecting the documents, approached the FAA and even after the order dt.28.03.2025, passed by the FAA, the appellant did not turn up in his office but filed his 2nd appeal before this Commission. But the PIO did not produce any documentary evidence of his advice to the appellant for payment of the cost of documents sought for by the appellant which is the only pertinent question to enable this Commission to proceed for further adjudication of the instant appeal.

This Commission, in the premises as above, therefore, deems it appropriate to peruse and consider the documentary proof of PIO's advising the appellant within the prescribed period of 1(one) month, as claimed by the PIO and accordingly, adjourns this appeal to 15.05.2026 when the PIO or APIO or representative is directed to be present with the documentary evidence as aforesaid."

The hearing of this, however, could not be held on 15.05.2026 due to Bandh in the Itanagar Capital Region and hence, listed on 03.07.2026.

● On 03.07.2026, none of the parties appeared which this Commission viewed very seriously, particularly, the absence of the appellant. Hence, the hearing was adjourned to today 12.08.26 with warning to the appellant that if he does not appear again, this appeal shall stand closed as being no longer interested by him.

Today on 12.08.2026, the appellant is again absent without any intimation. But the PIO, Er. Shri Jikum Hiri, EE appeared through VC who reiterated that the appellant did not turn up to collect the information/document which are ready.

This Commission, upon hearing the PIO and taking into account the repeated absence of the appellant in the hearings despite warning finds the case fit to be disposed of and closed as not being interested and pursued by the appellant. Consequently, this appeal stands disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 12th August, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-528/2025 /46 Dated Itanagar, the 20 Aug., 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Eastern Zone Namsai, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) PIN: 792103 for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Khupa Division, Anjaw District (A.P) PIN: 792104 for information.
3. Shri Bachunum Yun, Rock Land Tezu, PO/PS- Tezu, Lohit District, PIN: 792001), (Phone No. 8279838414) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Rag 13/08/26
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar