



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER
No.APIC-359/2023 Dated, Itanagar the 29th January', 2024.

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

Shri Dado Kagung &
Shri Kudum Barang, Palin,
K/Daadi District, AP.

Vs

Respondent

PIO-cum-EE, Electrical Pania Division,
K/Daadi District, AP.

JUDGEMENT ORDER

An appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from **Shri Dado Kagung & Shri Kudum Barang, Palin, PO/PS- Palin, K/Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh**, for non-furnishing of information, by **The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Electrical, Pania Division, Kra-Daadi District, Govt. of AP, Arunachal Pradesh**, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 25.01.2023 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"Seeking information pertaining to SADA scheme 2019-20 to 2021-22 for Pania Electrical Division, Kra Daadi District AP".

The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form – A'.

The 1st hearing was held on 09th day of August' 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. Er. Toko Soni(AE) represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. Heard both the parties. The APIO furnished the documents sought by the appellant before the Court itself. The appellant received the same. The Court directed the appellant to go through the documents received and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction for the documents received on or before next date of hearing fixed on 30th August' 2023.

The 02nd hearing was held on 30th day of August' 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The case was heard ex-parte. The appellant informed the Court that the documents furnished by the PIO were misleading and in-complete. The Court gave a chance to the case and directed the PIO to attend in next hearing. Hence, the next date of hearing for the case was fixed on 14th September' 2023.

The 03rd hearing was held on 14th September' 2023. Both the parties were present. Heard both the parties. The Court directed the PIO to furnish the documents sought by the appellant on or before the next date of hearing and also directed the appellant to inform his redressals of satisfaction/dissatisfaction on receipt to the Commission. Accordingly, the Court fixed next date of hearing for the case on 18th October' 2023.

The 04th hearing was held on 18th day of October' 2023. Both the parties were present. Heard both the parties. The PIO furnished the documents sought by the appellant before the Court itself. The appellant received the same. The appellant after going through the documents received raised some doubt and objections on the information received. On this the Court, had directed the PIO to rectify the documents and re-submit the same on or before next date of hearing fixed on 16th November' 2023.

The 05th hearing was held on 16th day of November' 2023. Both the parties were present. The APIO furnished all the documents to the appellant before the Court itself except the cheque counter foil and money receipt. On this the Court directed the APIO to furnish the payment statement in lieu of cheque counter foil and money receipt from the Office of the PIO and he has been directed to furnish the same on or before next date of hearing for the case on 20th December' 2023.

The 06th hearing was held on 20th day of December' 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The APIO represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. Heard both the parties. The appellant informed the Court that all the documents sought by him have been furnished by the PIO except for some documents. The APIO informed the Court that there is no documents left to be furnished as sought by the appellant. On this, the Court directed the APIO to sworn-in an affidavit for N/A documents on or before next date of hearing fixed on 17th January' 2024.

The 07th hearing was held on 17th day of January' 2024. The appellant and PIO was absent. The representative of the PIO was present. The case was heard ex-parte. The APIO produced the affidavit before the Court itself. The Court directed that the appellant has to collect the document form the Commission's Office and case was disposed of as all the documents sought by the appellant have been furnished by the PIO. As such, the case is disposed of and no such hearings for this case will be entertained further in the Commission's Court.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed off as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.


Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 29th day of January' 2024.

Sd/-
(GUMJUM HAIDER)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Dated, Itanagar, the 30th January' 2024.

Memo.No.APIC- 359/2023/ 1269
Copy to:-

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Electrical, Pania Division, Kra-Daadi District, AP, PIN – 791118, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
2. Shri Dado Kagung & Shri Kudum Barang, Palin, Kra-Daadi District, PIN-791118, PH-6909665389, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for uploading on the Website of APIC.
4. Office copy.


Registrar/Dy.Registrar,
APIC, Itanagar.
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.