



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION



सत्यमेव जयते



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-93/2025(Appeal)

Shri Tania June & Party

C/o E-Sector Naharlagun

PO/PS: Naharlagun

Papumpare District A.P

Pin: 791110. (M) 8131848230

Appellant

Versus

1.PIO,

o/o the Executive Engineer

(EE)RWD Hawaii Division Anjaw District

A.P. Pin Code: 792104

Respondents

2.FAA,

Chief Engineer (CE) PMGSY

RWD Itanagar Papumpare District A.P

Pin Code: 791111

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 10.04.2025

Date of Decision: 10.04.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo
Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on	:	28.10.2024
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	12.12.2024
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	21.01.2025

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 28.10.2024 seeking following Information pertains to Name of work, Road from BRO to Hutong (stage-II) Package No: AR/16/02/070. Total Length: 6.33 KM total cost Rs. 3,33,51,999.00

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA – Chief Engineer (CE) PMGSY, RWD, Itanagar, AP.**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e **on or before 24.04.2025.**

The appellant is at liberty to file 2nd appeal afresh if not satisfied with the decision of the FAA / or PIO has not provided satisfactory information after order issued by the FAA. Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at Commission.

**Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC

Date:

Memo No. APIC-93/2025/ 400
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 11. April, 2025.

- ✓ 1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.


Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.