सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-791/2023

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Nabam Sonu, Lekhi Village
E-Sector, Naharlagun. ..... **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PHED & WS, Basar
Leparda District, Arunachal Pradesh, ... **Respondent.**

Judgment/Order: **02.02.2024**.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Nabam, Sono on **24.02.2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum- EE, PHE & WS Division Basar, Lepearada District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.04.2023 Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 21.08.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 791/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the **Commission for 2 (two) times i.e. on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 2nd day of February, 2024, both the parties found absent during the hearing. Moreover, the both the parties remained absent consecutively dated on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024 nor they intimated the reason of their absence.**

During the hearing, the Commission tried to contact the both the parties through audio hearing but both the parties not responded the call.
In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant remained absent during the hearing, for two consecutive times i.e. on **21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024** , inspite of the direction of the Commission to him to be present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on **02.02.2024,** inspite of the direction of the Commission comply the **direction of the Commission/Court order which passed in every hearing. Moreover, he remained silent** though he was intimated well in advance that, **if he remained twice absent during the hearing his appeal shall be decided ex-parte and disposed of .**

So, I find that the appellant is no more interest on the APIC No.-791/2023 appeal for further hearing.

Cont...2/-

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing. So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Sd/-
(Sonam Yudron)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-791/2023/1348 Dated Itanagar, the 9th February 2024.

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PHED & WS, Basar, Leparada District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
1. Shri Nabam Sonu, Lekhi Villager, Naharlagun, PO/PS, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar