

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Tamchi Gungte

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD,
Naharlagun, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 10.03.2026.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri **Tamchi Gungte** on **15/11/2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-EE, Department of PWD Naharlagun Division Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in their Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23/9/2024** Appellant, again having not received the required information despite the order from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **9/9/2024**, and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 367/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Court of the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission for four hearing i.e **24/04/2025, 22/07/2025, 30/10/2025 & 10/03/2026**

These particulars of information required are as follows:-

C/o "Construction of High Court Building at Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.":

1. Furnish the certified documents submitted for Technical Bid by the tender participants.
2. Furnish the certified copy of declaration for the contractor, in which he is registered, to the effect that he has not been debarred from tendering by any authority.
3. Furnish the certified copy of EMD and Security money deposited by all the tender participant.
4. Furnish the certified Integrity Pact submitted by the tender participant.
5. Furnish the certified copy of an Affidavit sworn before a competent magistrate by the contractor, to the effect that he does not have two or more incomplete ongoing commitments (project to execute/ contracts in hand).
6. Furnish the name of Officers and their Designation at the time of monitoring the work
7. Furnish the Certified copy of Newspaper in which the NIT was Published (at least 3 newspaper names (one national & 2 locals) as per Govt. approved Order.

In the 4th hearing on **10th day of March '2026**, the Appellant found absent, without informing the reason for his absence before the Commission. The representative of the PIO Shri Pema Dorjee Kochi AE (PWD) Naharlagun Division, is present during the hearing in person.

Heard the representative of the PIO.

The representative of the PIO stated that the information as applied by the Appellant have been furnished to the Appellant on 14/11/2024, wherein the hard copy as well as soft copy was provided to him. The receipt copy available with the PIO was exhibited to the court.

After hearing the representative of the PIO the Commission observes;

- i) In the last hearing which was the 3rd hearing the Appellant absent from the hearing without communicating the reason for his absence. Wherein the Commission clearly put on record in the notice for next hearing that the absence of the Appellant in the next hearing which is today will compel the Commission to hear and determine this appeal ex-parte.
- ii) Despite notice for hearings served upon the Appellant the repeated absence of the Appellant prove more than needed that he is not serious on his appeal.

- iii) The records as exhibited by the PIO's office displaying the receipt of the complete information says more than enough to prove that Appellant has received the information completely from the PIO. And this is taken as the reason for the consecutive absence of the Appellant in the hearing.

Under the above stated facts and findings, the Commission hereby comes to the conclusion that the information have been provided by the PIO to the Appellant completely. In the receipt of the complete information by the Appellant the Commission does not find any interest or reason to further the hearing of this appeal.

And therefore, this appeal is disposed of, ex-parte with no liberty given to the Appellant to file for fresh application for the same set of information.

Judgment order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 10th day of March 2026.

Copy of judgment order be furnished to the both parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 10th day of March 2026.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-367/A/2024 11/11/24 Dated Itanagar, the 10th March, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Naharlagun, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791110**
2. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Chimpu, Itanagar, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9233567279**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

P. Nagaraj
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar