



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-654/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Tamchi Gungte, near KV-II School Chimpu Itanagar,
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Yazali
Division, Keyi Panyor District (A.P)

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of 32 (thirty two) point information on C/o "Development of wayside Amenities at Tago Putu, Yazali, Keyi Panyor District" during the financial year of 2017-18 under NEC project by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (RWD), Yazali Division, Keyi Panyor District (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 14.05.2025.

This appeal was listed for 3(three) times on 12.12.2025, 04.02.26 and 08.04.26.

On 12.12.29 the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte and the representative of the PIO, Shri Bengia Chito with the requested documents/information were present

The documents brought in by the PIO's representative were handed over to the appellant with direction to go through the same and report his satisfaction or otherwise therewith to this Commission within 1(one)week for further consideration of the appeal.

In compliance with this Commission's order dt.12.12.2025, the appellant, vide his letter dt.19.12.2025, complained that the documents furnished by the PIO were incomplete.

*In view of the complaint of appellant as*above, the PIO was*directed to furnish the left out documents/ information to the appellant correctly and satisfactorily and report the compliance therewith to this Commission in the next hearing on 4th February, 2026. It was, however, made clear that if the PIO does not hold any of the requested information / documents, he shall declare so by way of an affidavit as mandated under section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005.

On 04.02.2026, appellant was present in person while the PIO was represented by Er. Rallo Bath, JE with the left out documents/information which were handed over to the appellant Shri Tamchi Gungte.

This Commission, on perusal of the documents furnished by the representative of the PIO noticed that some of the documents/information requested by the appellant were not furnished as these were not traceable /available in the o/o the PIO.

But since the law requires under section-7(8)(i) of the RTI Act that when an information is denied to the applicant, the reason thereof has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction, and as such the PIO was directed to make an endeavor to collect the documents/information which are still in the custody of the Tourism department and furnish the same to the appellant and also furnish affidavit against those which are not traceable as mandated by section-18(3)(c) and under rule-5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005.

The PIO was, thus, directed to comply with the above direction within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

The appellant, vide his letter dt.25.02.26, however, complained as under:

“Respected Sir,

With due respect, I am writing this letter to inform you that, the PIO Has failed to furnish the remaining documents as per the Judgement passed in the last hearing of this case. The PIO has failed to obey the court order, as it shall be declared as Contempt of Court. Therefore, I request the Honorable Judge to pass a penal action against the PIO under relevant section of the RTI Act 2005 and provide me another date to hear the matter thoroughly of both the PIO and Appellant.

Thank you

Dated: 25/02/2026

Yours Faithfully

Sd/-

Tamchi Gungte (appellant)”

In view of the non-compliance of the order of this Commission by the PIO, this appeal was again listed for hearing today on 08.04.2026, but neither party is present which has been viewed seriously by this Commission, especially the absence of the appellant without any intimation which entails this Commission to presume that he is no longer interested in the left out documents and in view thereof this appeal is closed as not being prosecuted by the appellant.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 8th April, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,

APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 654/2025/1189 Dated Itanagar, the 10th April, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Central Zone-A Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) PIN: 791111 for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Yazali Division, Keyi Panyor District (A.P) PIN: 791119 for information.
3. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV-2 School Chimpu, Itanagar Mobile No. 9233567279 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

Regd 08/04/26
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar

APIC Itanagar.

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar