सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No. APIC-88/2023 Dated, Itanagar the 1st February'2024.

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant		Respondent
Shri Nikam Dabu **C/o BBB Enterprises, H-Sector** **Itanagar, AP**	**-V/S-**	**PIO –cum-BDO** **CD Block Nilling Circle** **Upper Subansiri District, AP**

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from **Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o BBB Enterprises, H-Sector Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh**, for non-furnishing of information, by the **PIO-cum-BDO, CD Block Nilling Circle, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh,** as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 03.11.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"Expenditure & Implementation under SADA/ ADA/ RIDF/ SIDF of entire Upper Subansiri District for the period 2014 to 2022 (till date)."

The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form – A'.

The 1st hearing for the case was held on the 1st day of March'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. Therefore, the case couldn't be heard. Hence, the Court fixed the next date of hearing on the 26th day of April'2023.

The 2nd hearing for the case was held on the 26th day of April'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the appellant informed the Court that he had not received any documents from the PIO. The Court directed the PIO to furnish the information as sought by the appellant on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 3rd hearing of the case was held on the 24th day of May'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. Therefore, the case couldn't be heard. Hence, the Court fixed the next date of hearing on the 26th day of April'2023.

The 4th hearing of this case was held on the 7th day of June'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The PIO was found absent for 02 (two) consecutive hearings without any intimations. The Court seriously viewed the absence of the PIO and issued a show cause notice to the PIO for his appearance in the next date of hearing of this case.

The 5th hearing of this case was held on the 28th day June, 2023. Appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The case couldn't be heard. Later, both the parties were called in the Hon'ble SIC's chamber the next day.

Cont. page2/-

The 6th hearing of this case was held on the 19th day of Oct'2023. Appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The PIO was contacted over telephone and the Court directed the PIO to provide the information as sought by the Appellant in the Commission's office on or before the next date of hearing of this case. The appellant is to collect the same and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 7th hearing of this case was held on the 16th day of November'2023. Both the PIO and appellant were absent. The appellant has informed the court that he won't be able to attend today's hearing owing to some personal reasons and the PIO has also provided the documents as sought by the appellant in Commission's office on 14/11/2023 which would be provided to the appellant in due course and the appellant should go through the same and should inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 8th hearing of this case was held on the 20th day of Dec'2023. The appellant was represented by Shri Dongru Tania. The PIO was absent. During the hearing of this case, the representative of the appellant had taken the documents provided by the PIO from the Commission's office and he should intimate his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on today's hearing, but the appellant was absent.

The 9th hearing of this case was held on the 17th day of Jan'2024. Both the parties were absent. As the information sought by the appellant had already been provided by the PIO in its previous hearing and the appellant was found absent in today's hearing without any intimation, which seems that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and doesn't wish to pursue the case further. Hence, the case is disposed of.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 1st day of February'2024.

Sd/-
(GUMJUM HAIDER)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC- 88/2023/ 1319 Dated, Itanagar, the 5th February'2024.

Copy to: -

1. The PIO–cum-BDO, CD Block Nilling Circle, Upper Subansiri District Pin-791122, Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please.
2. Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o BBB enterprises, H-Sector Itanagar, Pin-791122 Arunachal Pradesh, Ph.76400882060 for information & necessary action please.
3. The Computer Operator/ Computer Programmer for uploading on the Website of APIC, please
4. Case File

Registrar/Dy. Registrar,
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar