



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-No.18/2026 (Appeal)

Shri Tamchi Gungte

KV2 school Chimpu, PO/PS-Itanagar
Papumpare District AP
Pin-791111 (M) 9233567279

Appellant

Versus

PIO,

O/o The Executive Engineer PHE&WSD
Longding, Longding Dist., Govt Of AP,
Pin-792131

PIO Respondent

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 25.09.2026

Date of Decision: 25.09.2026

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on	:	29.09.2025
SPIO replied on	:	not on record
First appeal filed on	:	10.11.2025
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	16.01.2026
Second Appeal filed on	:	07.01.2026

Information sought:

The appellant has filed an RTI application dated 10.10.2025 seeking following information with respect to C/o "total list of works/ projects sponsored by state fund/ Central fund or both (such as SIDF/BE/RE/RIDF/SADA/ADA/MLALAD/MPLAD/SPA/Article 275(1)/PMJVK/ CRIF/PMDevine/NEC/NESIDS/Non-BADP/ SASCI/JJM/NRDWM/SBM maintenance work etc.), executed under the concern division" from the financial year 2015 -16 to 2025-26.

Particulars of sought information are given below: -

1. Copy of Total List of Completed Projects/works (Plan & non-plan) in which the Security Deposits has been refunded to the contractors (submitted in FDR etc. and deducted from each running bill and final bill @ 2.5% minimum, of the gross amount of Bill) under the division for each financial year from 2015-16 to 2025-26 with proper date of completion details.
2. Copy of Register of Security Deposit Form CPWA 67 maintained in the Division from the financial year 2015-16 to 2025-26 in respect of serial no. 1

3. Copy of Refund of Security Deposit (along with supporting documents of refund) to the Contractors as per agreement made in Forms CPWD 7 & 8 on the completion of works regulated by Clause 17 of GCC in respect of serial no.1 mention above for each financial year to 2025-26.
4. Furnish all the above documents with CTC & proper manner (i.e. in serial wise with properly Index, proper paging of each financial year).

Relevant facts emerged during hearing:

Previous Hearing:

1st : 31.07.2026

Order of the 1st hearing- *"The Commission observed that the PIO pleaded for reducing the number of years for which the information is sought spanning over 10 years. He has produced two letters written to the appellant in this respect vide 1) Memo no. LPHED/RTI/25-26 Dated 08.10.2025 and 2) Memo no. LPHED/RTI/2025-26/8378-79 Dated 23.10.2025.*

Whereas, the appellant responded to the 1st letter dated 08.10.2025 of PIO. The appellant is yet to respond the 2nd letter date 23.10.2025 of PIO which states as below:

1. Your request was not rejected but only advised to be restricted to specific and limited information as per section 7(9) of the RTI act 2005, because the original application covered extensive information spanning multiple years, which would disproportionately divert the resources of public authority. 2. The intention of section 7(9) is not to deny information, but to ensure it is provided in a reasonable form and scope without affecting the normal functioning of the office. 3. You may therefore submit revised RTI application specifying: i. The particular subject matter of information sought. ii. The defined time period (e.g. One or two financial years) and iii. The category of record/documents required upon receipt of a revised, specific application, this office shall make every effort to furnish the information as per the provision of the RTI act 2005. Whereas, appellant sought for several development sectors / head of account schemes spanning over 10 years viz "SIDF / BE / RE / RIDF / SADA / ADA / MLALAD / MPLAD / SPA / Article 275(1) / PMJVK / CRIF / PMDeVine / NEC / NESIDS / Non-BADP / SASCI / JJM / NRDWM / SBM maintenance work etc.), executed under the concern division" from the financial year 2015 -16 to 2025-26." is clumsy and not specific. The appellant is directed to respond to the 2nd letter dated 23.10.2025 of PIO specifically para serial no. 3(ii) within ten days from the date of issue of this order under intimation to this commission. The PIO shall furnish the information to the appellant within two weeks upon receipt of such re-specified period of information filed by the appellant under intimation to this commission."

The following were present.

Appellant : Present. He has agreed to close this appeal case so as to file a fresh RTI application.

Respondent PIO : Present. He states that the appellant has not complied with the Commission's order dated 31.07.2026 wherein he was directed to reduce the number of years for which the information is sought spanning over 10 years.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, perusal of the records and hearing the parties, observes that the appellant has not complied with the Commission's order dated 31.07.2026 wherein he was directed to reduce the number of Years for which the information is sought spanning over 10 years. Instead, he has requested the commission for closure of this appeal case so as to file a fresh RTI application. Thus, this appeal case is fit to be closed.

The appeal case is disposed of accordingly at this commission.

Order pronounced in the Open Court of this Commission today this 25nd day of Sept.' 2026. Each copy of the Judgement / Order be furnished to the parties.


Given under my hand and seal of this Commission / Court on this 25nd day of Sept.' 2026.

Sd/- (Dani Gamboo)
Information Commissioner

Memo No. APIC-18/A/2026/ 166
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 28 Sept.' 2026.

- ✓ 1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.


Registrar / Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar,