



**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-66/2024**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Ha Tago & Shri Sartam Tallu ..... **Appellant.**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-The Under Secretary, Deptt. Of Education,  
Itanagar, P/Pare District,  
Arunachal Pradesh

..... **Respondent.**

**Judgment/Order: 19.03.2024.**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Ha Tago & Shri Sartam Tallu on **18/07/2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- Under Secretary, Department of Education, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **03.09.2023** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **29.01.2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Complaint, registered it as **APIC No. 66/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for once i.e on 19.03.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 19<sup>th</sup> day of March,2024. The appellant Shri Ha Tago and PIO present during the hearing.

Heard both the parties.

The PIO intimated to the Commission that the information sought by the appellant in their form 'A' application are not under their jurisdiction as the information are with the Director of Education.

On this, the Commission directed the appellant to seek the information from the concern Competent authority as the information are with them as reported by the PIO -cum- Under Secretary, Deptt. Of Education.

Accordingly. the appellant understood the above said matter and intimated to the Commission that he is satisfied with the judgment of the Commission and he requested for disposal/closure of their appeal on the ground of satisfactory judgment of the appeal by the Commission.

Moreover, the Commission also gave liberty to the appellant to file a fresh application as per the Act.

As such, viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the appeal has no further reason to be heard and, thus, find this appeal fit to be disposed off as infructuous.

And, accordingly, appeal is disposed off as infructuous and closed.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2024. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2024.

Sd/-  
(Vijay Taram)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-66/2024/1410  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2024.

1. PIO-Cum-the Under Secretary, Deptt. Of Education, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-791111.**
2. Shri Ha Tago & Shri Sartam Tallu, Hakum Village, Nirjuli, P/pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9612541253**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.

  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
Deputy Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar