





ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER No.APIC-494/2023 Dated, Itanagar the 29th January', 2024.

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

<u>Appellant</u> Shri Shoney Pertin & Ors, Ward No.04, PO/PS-Pasighat, E/Siang District, AP.

Vs

<u>Respondent</u> PIO-cum-CEO, Pasighat Smart City, Development Corporation Ltd, Pasighat, E/Siang District. AP.

JUDGEMENT ORDER

An appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from Shri Shoney Pertin & others, President Siang Rights Forum(SRF), Ward No.04, PO/PS- Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by The PIO–cum-Chief Executive Officer, Pasighat Smart City Development Corporation Ltd, Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 23.03.2023 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"1) Market area upgradation phase-2 2) PSCDCL guest house".

The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form -A'.

The 1st hearing was held on 22nd day of November' 2023. Both the parties were absent. Learned Counsel Adv, Samrat Deb represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. The case was heard ex-parte. The appellant though was absent but wrote a letter to the HSIC citing his reasons for the absence and pleaded to defer the case. As such the Court fixed another date of hearing for the case on 20th December' 2023.

The 02nd hearing was held on 20th day of December' 2023. Both the parties were absent. Adv. Samrat Deb represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. The case was heard ex-parte. The appellant though was absent but wrote a letter to HSIC whereby, intimating the reason of his absence. Since the appellant was absent the matter couldn't be discussed further. Hence, the Court fixed another date of hearing for the case on 24th January' 2024.

The 03rd hearing was held on 24th January' 2024. Both the parties were absent. Adv. D. Pathaw represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. The case was heard ex-parte. The Court observed that the appellant was absent on 03(three) consecutive terms and also didn't intimate to the Court on third hearing about his absence. Hence the Court assumed that the appellant is no more interested to pursue the case further and directed to dispose of the case. Therefore, the case is disposed of and no such hearings for this case will be entertained further in the Commission's Court.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed off as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.

Contd.Pg/2

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 29th day of January' 2024.

Sd/-(GUMJUM HAIDER) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC- 494/2023/1276 Copy to:- Dated, Itanagar, the January' 2024.

- The PIO-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Pasighat Smart City Development Corporation Ltd, Pasighat, East Siang District, AP, PIN – 791102, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
- Shri Shoney Pertin & Others, Ward No.04, PO/PS-Pasighat, East Siang District, PIN-791102, PH-8974216125/9402788327, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
- 3. The Computer Programmer for uploading on the Website of APIC.
- 4. Office copy.

Registar/Dy.Registrar,

APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar.