



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 805/2025.

**APPELLANTS
RESPONDENT**

: Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme.
: The PIO, o/o the SC CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Vijay Pertin and Shei Kalom Perme for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the SC CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar as sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their application dated 11.07.2025:

A) Particular of information: Credentials of Shri Nun Pertin, M/S KNP Enterprise

B) Details of information required:

“Copy of work experience certificate submitted by Shri Nun Pertin Proprietor M/S KNP enterprises, Dambuk, Lower Dibang Valley for registration / Enlistment into class-III.”

Facts emerging from the appeal and the decision thereon:

Records emerging from the appeal disclosed that the Appellants, Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the documents which prompted them to appeal before the Chief Engineer, CSQ (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their Memo of Appeal dt. 20.08.2025.

Records also reveals that the PIO vide his letter dt. 30.07.2025 informed the appellants that the information as sought by them are third party and disclosure of the same needs consent from the third party which can only be conveyed after getting consent from the third party concerned. The letter of the PIO is reproduced below :

“D/Sir,

With reference to your RTI application in form 'A' vide No. Nil dated 11/07/25 and received in this office on 11/07/25 seeking information about M/s K.N.P. Enterprises, Prop-Nun Pertin I am to furnish the following information para wise as under:

3(1)(1) The information sought by you are purely related to documents submitted to this office by third party and disclosure of the same needs consent from third party concerned under section 8(1)(d) 8(1)(j) of RTI Act 2005 & the same can be conveyed only after getting consent from the third party concerned.

This is for your kind information and necessary action please.

Sd/-

*PIO-cum-Superintending Engineer (CSQ),
Office of the Chief Engineer (CSQ)
PWD, AP, Itanagar.”*

Records also reveal that the FAA conducted the hearing on 12th Sept. 2025, and disposed of the appeal as under:

"ORDER

1. The fact of the case is that vide No. Nil dated 11/07/2025 and received in this office on 11/07/2025, the appellant Shri Vijay Pertin sought some information pertaining to firm namely as M/s K NP Enterprises.

2. Not satisfied with the information/communication furnished by the PIO, the appellant vide No. Nil dated 20/08/2025 and received in this office on 20/08/2025 appealed to the First Appellate Authority under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act 2005,

3. The applicant is seeking to be provided with some documents submitted by the concerned firm in this office.

4. This office is of the view that the PIO should provide information / furnish relevant documents to the Appellant except those covered under section 8 (1) (d), 8 (1) (j) and 11(2) of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. As such, PIO under section 8 (1) (d), 8 (1) (j) and all relevant sections of the RTI Act 2005, is of opinion that the documents sought by the RTI applicant doesn't serve any larger public interest and has therefore decided not to disclose documents sought to the RTI applicant without the consent of the third party.

6. The hearing was Conducted on dated 12/09/2025 under the FAA (First Appellate authority) **However the applicant was absent during the hearing.**

7. However, the Appellant is at liberty to further appeal to the State Information Commissioner if not satisfied with this order.

With this, the Appeal stands disposed off.

Sd/-

By Order

FAA cum Chief Engineer (CSO),
PWD, AP, Itanagar "

The appellants dissatisfied with the FAA's order as above, preferred their 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide memo of appeal dated 27.10.2025 on the ground that the PIO did not furnish complete information and further that the FAA did not hear the appeal.

This appeal is, accordingly, listed and heard on 11th March, 2026 but none of the parties appeared which has been viewed very seriously by this Commission, especially, the appellants' non-appearance.

The absence of the appellant makes the Commission presume that they have received the requested information or that they are no longer interested in the information, thus, making this appeal a fit case to be closed as not being prosecuted. This appeal is, accordingly, closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 11th march, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-805/2025 / 112 Dated Itanagar, the 18 March, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer, CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the SC CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar PIN: 791111 for information.
3. Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme, Ithili PO/PS Roing, Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) PIN: 792110 Contact No. 7085172418 for information.
- ✓ 4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Pragya Joshi
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar