



**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-382/2024**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Dongru Tania

..... Appellant

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-Deputy Director of School Education,  
Khonsa, Tirap District,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

**Order: 18.11.2025.**

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Dongru Tania on **13/09/2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-DDSE, Tirap District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **22/10/2024**, the Appellant again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **29/11/2024**, and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC No-382/2024(Appeal)** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **11/03/2025**, **7/08/2025** & **18/11/2025**.

**The information sought by the Appellant as follows:**

1. **Furnish the Date of joining and mode of recruitment (Xerox copy).**
2. **Furnish the details of advertisements in local daily news paper cutting (Xerox copy).**
3. **Furnish the no. of sanction post (Xerox copy).**
4. **Furnish the approval by govt. of India and the govt. of Arunachal Pradesh requirement to job engagement for early held in walk-in-interview system notification (Xerox copy)**
5. **Furnish the answer sheet copy with question papers of all the candidates (Xerox copy).**
6. **Furnish the details of your officially website online uploaded account number. Uploaded date and time printed digital copy to be furnished (Xerox Copy).**
7. **Furnish the CCTV footage during walk-in-interview held (Xerax copy).**
8. **Furnish the No. of candidate appeared in exam applied for the post (Xerox copy).**
9. **Furnish the qualified list of candidate (Xerox copy).**
10. **Furnish the name of appointing authority (Xerox copy).**
11. **Furnish the appointment order (Xerox copy).**
12. **Furnish the calling letter (Xerox copy).**
13. **Furnish the Departmental Promotion Committee (Xerox Copy).**
14. **Furnish the seniority list (Xerox copy).**
15. **Furnish the education qualification certificate (Xerox copy).**
16. **Furnish the written examination mark sheet (Xerox copy).**
17. **Furnish the viva-voice test (Xerox copy).**
18. **Furnish the govt. recruitment notification order (Xerox copy).**

In the 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing held on 18<sup>th</sup> November 2025, related to the **APIC-382/2024**. The Appellant Shri Dongru Tania, absent it is to be noted that the Appellant has been consecutively absent three (3) during the hearings without intimating the reason for his inability to attend the hearing, to the Commission. The Appellant consecutively absent in two hearings though notice of hearing was issued to him on 12/03/2025 and on **11/8/2025**. The PIO represented by Tahat Tangjang, District Project Coordinator (PD) Tirap District.



It is to be noted that due to the Appellant's absence in the 1<sup>st</sup> hearing on 11/03/2025, the Commission had categorically mentioned in the order of 11/03/2025 that the absence of the Appellant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing will necessitate the Commission to hear and determine this appeal ex-parte.

The consecutive absence of the Appellant in the three hearings implies that the Appellant is not serious on his appeal, despite being the Appellant himself and on top of all, the Commission sending him notice for the hearing repeatedly.

In the event of the inability to attend the hearing the Appellant and PIO are bounded to intimate the court of the Commission before hand, so that the absence may be recorded. But in cases, just like in the instant appeal, paying disregard to the notice of the Commission undermine the RTI ,Act 2005 itself and the statutory procedural rules of RTI Act 2005 undermined the Commission and so, in the light of the above facts and circumstance the Commission hereby dismiss this instant appeal ex-parte.

**Now therefore, the Commission hereby orders;**

- i) To dismiss this instant appeal ex-parte.
- ii) This appeal is dismissed ex-parte and closed with no liberty given to Appellant for filing fresh application to the same PIO on the same subject of information.

Judgment order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November 2025.

Copy of judgment order be furnished to the both parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November' 2025.


Sd/-  
(Vijay Taram)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-382/A/2024

Dated Itanagar, the .....November, 2025.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-DDSE, Khonsa, Tirap District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:792130**
2. Shri Dongru Tania, Upper Police Colony, PO/PS-Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 7005481022**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.  
Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar