



सूचना का  
अधिकार  
RIGHT TO  
INFORMATION

## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC ITANAGAR



An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005.  
Vide Case No. APIC-213/2024

Shri P.M Lomdak -V/S- PIO of the O/o Animal Husbandry, veterinary & Dairy Development, Nirjuli, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

### JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant, Shri P.M Lomdak, on **03/01/2024** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO-cum-Director, Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Veterinary & Dairy Development, Nirjuli, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21/02/2024**. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **04/07/2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC- No. 213/2024 (Appeal)** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

#### **Hearing both the parties:**

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on 28.11.2024 & 11.02.2025. In the first hearing both parties were absent without intimating to the Commission the reason for their inability to attend the hearing. The Commission viewed seriously on their absence and issued sommon to the parties.

In the second hearing of the Appeal, the Appellant present and stated before the Commission that the PIO has furnished the information of Stockmen (SM) only. The PIO-cum-Director, Department of Animal Husbandry, Veterinary and Dairy Development, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Nirjuli found absent in 2 (two) consecutive hearings without intimating the Commission for the reason of his inability to attend the hearing.

#### **Findings of the Commission:**

Despite being served with the summon the PIO has not bothered to attend to his statutory duties which is binding upon him as per the Service Rules and pursuant upon the above circumstances and under the provisions of the Laws of RTI, Act, 2005, the Commission orders the PIO to provide all the remaining information(s) sought under Form-A application of the Appellant to the Appellant within 30 (thirty) days from today.

#### **Judgment;**

In view of the above facts and circumstances and by the procedural laws and provisions of the RTI, Act, 2005, the Commission decides this Appeal ex-parte and dispose it. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands disposed and closed with liberty given to the Appellant to intimate the Commission, on the compliance of this order by the PIO.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025.

Sd/-  
(Vijay Taram)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-213/2024/617  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the 05<sup>th</sup> March 2025.

- (i) The PIO-cum-Director, Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Veterinary & Dairy Development, Nirjuli, P/Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action.
- (ii) Shri P.M Lomdak, Karsingsa Village, PO/PS-Banderdewa, District P/Pare, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- (iii) The Computer Programmer, for uploaded on the Website of APIC, please.
- (iv) Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy.Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar