



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-04/2025.

APPELLANT

: Shri Serpi Flago, Tigdo Doimukh

RESPONDENT

**: The PIO, o/o the E.E Rural Works Department
Namsai Division, District: Namsai (A.P)**

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Serpi Flago for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the E.E Rural Works Department, Namsai Division, District Namsai, Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 30.09.2024.

A) Particular of information: Release of fund against Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna (PMGSY) Scheme I (PFMS Scheme Code : 9179
(State Scheme Code : AR 59]

1. Special maintenance of road from Jengthu to Lathao
2. Special Maintenance of road from Lathao to Jona-III

B) Details of information required:

1. Photo copy sanction order and DPR;
2. Photocopy of details Project Report;
3. Photo copy of technical sanction estimate copy;
4. Photo copy of requisition letter of concern authority and fund placement letter;
5. Photocopy of newspaper cutting of advertisement calling for NIT published in local newspapers;
6. Photocopy of bill sheet against whom the work has been awarded and mode of payment;
7. Photo copy of actual payment receipts (APR) against the scheme to proof that whoever received the amount;
8. Any provision of alteration of the sanction amounts? If yes then furnish the detail copy;
9. Photocopy of Allocation of fund against the said work;
10. Photocopy of agreement executed between RWD and executing firm;
11. No. of firm who took participate during tender bidding process;
12. Photo copy of name of the contractor and M/s Firm who got selected;
13. Photo copy of the already installments payment to the contractors;

14. Photocopy of field/site verification report and Geo-Tagging report;
15. Photo copy of cheque, counterfoils/cheque leaf or online transaction payment details;
16. Certified copy of worksite photographs before and after;
17. Photocopy of Measurement Book (MB) related the payment made to the contractor;
18. Photocopy of guidelines for the said allotted work;
19. Furnish photocopy of completion report of installment wise and completion report (CR) of whole work;
20. Photocopy of mapping of said work;
21. Furnish the details: -
 - (a) The total nos. of bridges and culverts that lies under this stretch that were repaired or newly constructed under the sanction work order
 - (b) Furnish the details of labors engaged and their rate of per day wages charged with other miscellaneous expenditures
22. Certified copy of total sanction amount released from State Govt. or central Govt. for special maintenance of said project;
23. Name of the firm to whom the special maintenance work is being executed or executing in said project;
24. The present status report of maintenance works;
25. The total amount released for special maintenance work so far, along with the name of the firm executing the work;
26. Furnish the bank Guarantee amount deposited by the executing firms and
27. Certified copy of special maintenance amount to be released.
 - c) Period for which information asked for: 24.03.2022-11.08.2022

Records reveal that the Appellant did not receive the aforementioned information sought by him from the PIO in view of which he approached the F.A.A, the Chief Engineer (RWD) PMGSY, Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, vide his appeal dated 28/11/24.

Records further disclose that the F.A.A has not adjudicated on this appeal as mandated under Section 19(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

The First Appellate Authority, following the principle of natural justice, ought to have conducted hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to the Appellant and the PIO and thereafter passed a reasoned and speaking order on merit.

It is to be noted that the F.A.A, while adjudication on the appeal must apply his mind into the aspects like the kind of information sought by the Appellant, whether the information sought for could be disclosed or whether the same is exempted under relevant provisions of law and then, exercise his power and functions as mandated by the provisions of Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In the premises as above this Commission finds the case at hand fit to be remanded to the FAA, the, Chief Engineer (RWD) (PMGSY), Govt. of A.P for adjudication who shall apply his mind and go into aspects like what kind of information was sought by the Appellant, whether the information(s) could be provided or whether the same is hit by the provisions of Section – 8 & 9 of the RTI Act, 2005, whether the

information requested is disproportionate/indiscriminate etc. and then pass an appropriate speaking order giving justification for his decision.


This appeal is, accordingly, remanded to the Chief Engineer, (RWD) PMGSY, Govt. of A.P, the F.A.A, Itanagar, for adjudication within 4(four) weeks from the date of receipt of this order with liberty to the Appellant to prefer second appeal before this Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, if he is aggrieved/ dissatisfied with the order passed by the F.A.A, or with the information furnished by the PIO for which no fee need be paid.

Sd/-
(SANGYAL TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 04/2025/582 Dated Itanagar, the 11 April, 2025

Copy to:-

1. The First Appellate Authority, the Chief Engineer, RWD PMGSY, Govt of A.P Itanagar for information and complaince.
2. The PIO, o/o the E.E Rural Works Department, Division – Namsai, District: Namsai Arunachal Pradesh 792103 for information.
3. Shri Serpi Flago, Near M.E. School, Tigdo village, PO & PS – Doimukh, Dist.: Papum Pare (A.P) 791112, Arunachal Pradesh, Mobile No. 9436414993 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy


Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar