

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-321/2023

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**



Shri Yiter Lombi

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD.
Basar, Leparada District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 05.02.2026.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Yiter Lombi on **25/11/2022** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Basar Division, Leparada District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06/03/2023**, and even then, the Appellant not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **08/04/2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **321/2023** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **29.09.2023, 08.12.2023, 05.04.2024, 30.09.2025 & 05.02.2026** wherein the Appellant found absent four times during the hearing before the Commission.

The 5th hearing held on 5th February' 2026 related to APIC-No. 321/2023, the Appellant Shri Yiter Lombi found absent consecutively for the 3rd time without intimating the reasons for his absence to the Commission. Whereas, he is the Appellant in the instant Appeal and who is bound to appear in the hearings after filing an appeal before the Commission.

The PIO absent without stating the reasons for his absence despite repeated Summons served unto him to be present in the hearing of the appeal preferred against him by the Appellant.

In the absence of both the parties the Commission hereby observes;

- i) The Appellant Shri Yiter Lombi absent in the 2nd hearing on 08.12.2023 and again absent in the 3rd hearing held on 05.04.2024 and again absent in the 4th hearing held on 30th September' 2025 and again absent today in the 5th hearing on

5th February' 2026, despite notice for hearing served unto him properly from this Commission.

- ii) In all the repeated absence in the hearings the Appellant has never intimated the reasons for his absence to the Commission.
- iii) The repeated absence of the Appellant without intimating the reason to the Commission, displays the non-seriousness of the Appellant and not pursuing his Appeal.
- iv) Such non-seriousness of the Appellant after filing appeal before the Commission unnecessarily wastes the precious time of the PIO as well as the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC).

The details of information(s) sought by the Appellant from the PIO;

1. Copies of DPR.
2. A copy of sanction order.
3. A copy of NIT.
4. A copy of NIT, whether it was published or not. If yes, may furnished newspaper cuttin please.
5. A copy of total numbers of tender participant.
6. Name of Firm/ Enterprise with latest GST update number and No due certificate fror Bank.
7. Copy of Technical Sanction (TS).
8. Please furnish details of tender norms and guidelines.
9. Agreement copy number and tender value please.
10. Furnish the total number of bidders participated with tally sheet, companion statement of tender certified by competent authority, board member, with file note sheet.
11. Please give an expenditure copies maintain by account branch and total payment of Boucher's/money receipt and released to the contractor by concern department till date.
12. Please give certified copy of Department general financial rules (GFR) means for expenditure allocated amount.
13. Extract copy of full and final bill.
14. A copy of Latest payment record and expenditure.
15. Please give details of payment like Cheque counter foils/account transaction/cash /draft etc.
16. . Please give details of photo graph with Geo-tag number, of every work sites.
17. Current status of project.
18. A copy of works completion certificate/ report, UC.
19. All documents may be attached with proper seal, signature or True certified copy (CTC) concern PIO, with affidavit for authenticity of document/information, as per the I Act, or rules.

Under the above observations the Commission hereby decides;

- i) The non-seriousness of the Appellant in pursuing his appeal and absenting continuously in the hearings prompt the Commission to believe the Appellant is not interested to pursue this appeal else the Appellant should have at least submitted letter of absence in at least one of the hearing for his absence and which was not done by the Appellant even in one stance also.
- ii) Pursuant upon the critical observations stated above the Commission hereby dismiss this instant appeal ex-parte.

Order;

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal ex-parte. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 5th day of February' 2026.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 5th day of February' 2026.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-321/A/2023/1076

Dated Itanagar, the 12....February, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Basar, Leparada District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791101**
2. Shri Yiter Lombi, Guwahati High Court Itanagar Permanent Bench, Yupia, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8257875128**
- ✓ 3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

P. Raji
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar