



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-749/2025

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

..... Appellant

Shri Lokam Namdu

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Principal Chief Conservator of Forest,
Itanagar, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 18.08.2026.

JUDGMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant, Shri Lokam Namdu on **13/05/2025** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO-cum-Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (PCCF), office of the PCCF, Itanagar, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in his Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not received the required information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18/06/2025**. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **06/10/2025** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC- No. **749/A/2025** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **24.02.2026 & 18.08.2026**, wherein the Appellant is present during the hearing before the Commission.

The PIO-cum-PCCF, office of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (PCCF), Itanagar, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is absent without reporting the reason for his absence.

Today's hearing was to ascertain from Appellant, whether the information provided to him by the PIO on 24.02.2026 in the open court of the Commission is complete information or not, as applied.

Heard the Appellant;

The Appellant stated that he has not gone through the information provided by the PIO to him on 24.02.2026.

After hearing the Appellant the Commission hereby observes;

- 1) The PIO-cum-PCCF's representative Shri Bijoy Pardhan had furnished the information to the Appellant in the open court of the Commission on 24.02.2026, in the last hearing day.
- 2) As the Appellant cannot go through the information provided while hearing the appeal, the court of this Commission ordered the Appellant to go through the information provided by the PIO and to let the Commission know in the next hearing i.e today whether the information(s) provided by the PIO are complete or not, as applied.
- 3) Today's hearing was solely fixed to ascertain from the Appellant whether the information(s) as provided by the PIO are complete or not.
- 4) On enquired by the Commission to the Appellant whether the information(s) as provided by the PIO are complete or not, the Appellant is confused whether the information(s) are complete or not, as he has not gone through the information copies, which clearly signifies that the Appellant has not gone through all the document/information provided by the PIO to him and which also signifies, that the Appellant is not at all serious about the information sought as well as information provided to him.

- 5) Such non serious Appellants harassing the PIO for their vested interest, are not in the interest of the general public and not in the true meaning of the RTI, Act, 2005, and therefore, the Commission opines as below;
- 6) Considering the statement of the Appellant that even after the PIO furnishing him the information, the Appellant does not have any idea whether the information(s) are complete or not signifies that the intention of the Appellant is not to get the information rather he has personal interest/vested interest in filing the RTI, Act, 2005, and thereby harassing and wasting the precious time and resources of the PIO as well as the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC).

Under the above observations the Commission is of the opinion that such non-serious Appellant's appeal should be dismissed at the initial hearing itself, and here in this instant appeal the PIO has provided the information, and therefore, the Commission hereby dispose this appeal and close it once for all.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission, find this appeal fit to be disposed of and closed. And accordingly this appeal stands disposed of and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **18th day of August' 2026.**

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **18th day of August' 2026.**

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-749/A/2025/45

Dated Itanagar, the 20th August, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-PCCF, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791111**
2. Shri Lokam Namdu, B-Sector, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9362166851**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy


Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar,