

## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC) ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

#### Vide Case No.APIC- 492/2023

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Binny Alvish......Appellant

### -VERSUS-

### Judgment/Order: 04.10.2023.

#### JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Binny Alvish on 16.03.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-Assistant Director, Economic & Statistic, Itanagar P/Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.04.2023, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 18.06.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 492/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 2 (two) times i.e on 29.06.2023, 10.08.2023 & 04.10.2023. In this hearing of the appeal on 24<sup>th</sup> day of October,2023. The present bur the appellant found absent during the hearing.

Moreover, the PIO in the last hearing dated on 10.08.2023, he has brought all the information to hand over to the appellant but he remained absent during the hearing. The Commission also sent summon notice to him date on 11.08.2023 to present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing but even then he failed to appear before the Commission on 04-10-2023. In this context, it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant has remained absent in the hearing for two consecutive times i.e dated on 10.08.2023 & 04.10.2023 and to the effect that whether the appellant has received all the information or not, he has not intimated any of the reason to the Commission. In spite of repeated direction given by the Commission/Court in every hearing to intimate regarding his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of the information.

Therefore, the Commission believed/assumed that the appellant is no more interested on the APIC-492/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as information to continue the hearing.

And accordingly, appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023

Sd/-(Sonam Yudron) State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar, Dated Itanagar, the .1.4.... Oetober 2023

Memo.No.APIC-492/2023/ /396 Copy to:

- 1. The PIO-cum-Assistant Director, Economic & Statistics, Itanagar, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- 1. Shri Lebe Alvish, C/o Nyiglang Monya, zNiba Hospital, PS, Pappu Nallah, PO, Naharlagun, Papum Pare for information and necessary action please.
- The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
  Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar