



**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Tania June

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Chief Engineer, PHE Dept.  
Itanagar, Papum Pare District,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

**Order:03.02.2026.**

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Tania June on **19/08/2023** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO of the office of the Chief Engineer, PHE Department, Eastern Zone, Itanagar, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19/10/2023**, and even then, the Appellant not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **08/12/2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **1150/2023** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **28.11.2024, 11.02.2025, 17.04.2025, 03.07.2025 & 03.02.2026** wherein the Appellant found absent during the hearing before the Commission.

The 5<sup>th</sup> hearing held on 3<sup>rd</sup> February' 2026 related to APIC-No. 1150/2025, the Appellant Shri Tania June absent consecutively for 2<sup>nd</sup> time without intimating the reasons for his absence to the Commission. Whereas, he is the Appellant in the instant Appeal and who is bound to appear in the hearings after filing an appeal before the Commission.

It is to be noted that Shri Tania June had been absent in the first hearing held on 28.11.2024, again he was absent in the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing on 11.02.2025 and today again in the 5<sup>th</sup> hearing he is absent and that too, without intimating the reasons for his absence.

The PIO absent without stating the reasons for his absence despite repeated Summons served unto him to be present in the hearing of the appeal preferred against him by the Appellant.

In the absence of both the parties the Commission hereby observes;

1. The Appellant Shri Tania June has been repeatedly absent in 4 hearings including today despite notice for hearing served unto him properly from this Commission.
2. In the 4 repeated absence in the hearings the Appellant Shri Tania June has never intimated the reasons for his absence to the Commission.
3. The repeated absence of the Appellant without intimating the reason to the Commission, displays the non-seriousness of the Appellant and not pursuing his Appeal.
4. Such non-seriousness of the Appellant after filing appeal before the Commission unnecessarily wastes the precious time of the PIO as well as the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC).

**The details of information(s) sought by the Appellant from the PIO;**

1. Furnish Administrative approval and sanction order Copies.
2. Furnish NIT copies.
3. Furnish work order Copies.
4. Furnish Photograph & GIO Tagg copies
5. Furnish First and final bill copies.
6. Furnish DBT/PFMS Xerox copy and details name list Copies
7. Furnish GST & Return fill copies.
8. Furnish Money Receipt Copy and cheque Receipt copies.
9. Furnish Trading licence and Proprietor details name list copies.
10. Furnish NOC forest department.
11. Furnish Work Progress Report copies.
12. Furnish Site Inspection copies.
13. Furnish Utilization certificate copies.
14. Furnish EE/AE/JE/Details name list copies.
15. Furnish DPR Copies.
16. Furnish Advertisement and local news paper copies.
17. Furnish Department and contractor agreement copies.
18. Furnish payment details name list copies.
19. Furnish Tender participate details copies.
20. Furnish land donate copies.
21. Furnish Sketch map copies.
22. Furnish firm registration certificate copies.
23. Furnish firm work express certificate copies.

Period from which information asked for;- 2014 to Till date.

**Under the above observations the Commission hereby decides;**

- i) The non-seriousness of the Appellant in pursuing his appeal and absenting continuously in the hearings prompt the Commission to believe the Appellant is not interested to pursue this appeal else the Appellant should have at least

submitted letter of absence in at least one of the hearing for his absence and which was not done by the Appellant even in one stance also.

ii) Pursuant upon the critical observations stated above the Commission hereby dismiss this instant appeal ex-parte.

**Order;**

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal ex-parte. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February' 2026.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February' 2026.

Sd/-  
**(Vijay Taram)**  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-1150/A/2023/1064

Dated Itanagar, the 4....February, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Chief Engineer, PHED, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791111**
2. Shri Tania June, E-Sector, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8131848230**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

*Recd: 04/02/26*  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

*Deputy Registrar*  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar