



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC- 844/2023

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Kalom Perme, Village Letong Village
Dambuk, Lower Dibang Valley **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, WRD, Roing
Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh, **Respondent.**

Judgment/Order: 02.02.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Kalom Perme on **22.05.2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-EE, WRD, Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **22.06.2023** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **23.08.2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 844/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the **Commission for 2 (two) times i.e. on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 2nd day of February, 2024. Both the parties found absent during the hearing.**

During the hearing, the Commission tried to contact both the parties through the audio hearing but they did not responded the call.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant remained absent during the hearing. Moreover, he failed to intimate his reason of absence during the hearing and he remained absent at his own will for two consecutive times i.e. dated on **21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024**, inspite of the direction of the Commission to him to be present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on **02/02/2024** but he failed to comply the direction of the Commission and to the effect that the Appellant has failed to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission.

And also, he remained silent though he was intimated well in advance, if he remained twice absent during the hearing his appeal shall be decided as Ex-parte and disposed of.

So, I find that the appellant is no more interest on the APIC No-844/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied.

Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing. So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Sd/-
(Sonam Yudron)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Dated Itanagar, the February 2024.

Memo.No.APIC-844/2023/1358

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, WRD, Roing, Lower Dibang Valley, District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Kalom Perme, Village Letong Village Dambuk, Lower Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
APIC, Itanagar