सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-352/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Tamchi Gungte, near KV-II School Chimpu Itanagar,
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (RWD), Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (RWD), Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 30.01.2025.

A) Particular of information: c/o "Construction / upgradation of roads and Bridge under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana" during the financial year 2024-25.

The total list of work / projects are as follow:

1. MRL-02 Road from Abali to Injino.
2. PWD road to Tinali (HQ) via Mobuk.
3. c/o RCC Bridge with BUG Girder over Kandu Nallah (Span:2X 50.00m).
4. c/o RCC Bridge with BUG Girder (Span: 1X 50.00m) over Sita Nallah.
5. c/o RCC Bridge with BUG Girder (Span: 1X 50.00m) over Aba Nallah.
6. c/o RCC Bridge with BUG Girder (Span:2X 50.00m) over Siney River Branch
7. c/o RCC Bridge with BUG Girder (Span:2X 30.00m) over Siney River Branch

B) Details of information required:

1. The certified sanction order copy.
2. The Certified copy of proof (such as Voter ID and PRC) that the tender winning firm Domicile status within the Territorial jurisdiction of that Assembly Constituency as per District Based Entrepreneur and Professionals (Incentive, Development and Promotion) rules, 2015 & 2020.
3. The certified PRC Submitted by tender Participant issued by the Competent Authority regarding domicile status within the District as per Rule 4(ii)(b) of the Arunachal Pradesh District Based Entrepreneur and Professionals (Incentive, Development and Promotion) rules, 2015 & 2020.
4. The Certified copy of document submitted by tender participant for Technical Bid.
5. The name of firms who won the tender work with respect to subject mentioned above
6. The name of officers and their Designation at the time of monitoring the work.
7. The certified copy of contractor Registration, of the tender participant and tender winning firm.
8. The certified copy of contractor Enlistment (Civil), of tender participant and winning firm.

9. The certified Affidavit copy Sworn before a Competent Magistrate to the effect that he/she (tender participant), does not have 2 (Two) or more incomplete ongoing commitment (projects/contract to execute) at the time of bidding by the tender participant and winning firm. (as per Arunachal Pradesh Gazette Notification no. SPWD/W-66/2012 dt. 01-08-2018 and as per District Based Entrepreneurship Act, 2015 & 2020).
10. The certified documents submitted by tender participant and winning firm, i.e. copy of completed Three similar work each of value not less than 40% of the estimate cost or Completed Two Similar work each of value not less than 60% of the estimated cost or Completed One similar work of value not less than 80% of the estimated cost along with the Completion Certificate issued by the Engineer in Charge duly Countersigned by the Concerned Superintending Engineer and Chief Engineer, in the last 5 years ending last day of the month previous to the one in which the tenders are invited.
11. The certified copy of all Photograph of work items (Glossy paper) before starting of work and Photograph (Glossy Paper) after completion of work.
12. The certified Payment Details (Cheque no., voucher, PFMS/ etc. (Which ever method is used of payments) of the project till date.
13. The certified Solvency certificate certified by the Bankers, submitted by all the tender participant.
14. The certified Credit facility from Bankers (10% of the tender value) submitted by the tender participants.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal :

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to appeal before the Chief Engineer (RWD), PMGSY, (EZ), Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of Appeal dt. 20.03.2025. However, the appellant preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of Appeal dt. 28.04.2025 without any records as to the orders/direction, passed if any, by the FAA.

Hearing and decision:

This appeal was, thus, heard on 22.10.2025 wherein Er. Shri Millo Tabyo, AE-APIO was present in person with the requested documents while the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte was absent without any intimation.

The APIO submitted that in response to the RTI application dt.30.01.2025 filed by the appellant was received in the o/o the PIO on 05.02.2025 and in response thereto the o/o PIO, vide letter dt.24.02.2025 had asked the appellant to remit a sum of Rs.15,632.00 being the cost of 7816 pages of documents @ Rs.2.00 p/page but he failed to respond to the letter or remit the amount. In this regard the APIO produced the copy of the letter including the copies of other relevant letters which have been taken on record in this Commission.

In the absence of the appellant, the documents could not be handed over to him nor could it be ascertained and confirmed the exact date of receipt of the PIO's aforesaid communication by him.

Hearing of this appeal was, therefore, adjourned to 26.11.2025 with direction to the PIO to bring the requested documents/information as per the appellant's RTI application and duly certified, sealed and indexed.

The appeal was, accordingly, listed today on 26.11.2025 wherein the PIO, Er. Shri O. Tatak, EE Roing RWD Division is present with the documents/information as directed but the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte is once again absent without any intimation.

In view of the appellant's absence in the hearing for the 2nd time, the PIO pleaded that the appeal be disposed of and closed as the appellant does not seem to be interested in the information despite summon notice.

This Commission is also of the considered view that the appellant is not interested in the requested information any longer and in view of thereof, this Commission concludes that this appeal requires no further adjudication in the Commission and resultantly, it stands closed as not being interested by the appellant.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 26th Nov., 2025.

Sd/-
(S. Tsering Bappu)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 352/2025 /994 **Dated Itanagar, the** 28 **Nov., 2025**

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Engineer (RWD), PMGSY Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (RWD), Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) PIN: 792110 for information.
3. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV-2 School Chimpu, Itanagar Mobile No. 9233567279 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar