

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC) ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No.APIC- 233/2023

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Chapo Yangfo, Type-II Colony, Seppa.

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-District Forest Officer, Seppa

Judgment/Order:

029.01.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act. 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Chapo Yangfo on 07.09.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-DFO, Seppa Division, East kameng District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.11.2023 Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 15.03.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC). having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 233/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 7 (Seven) times i.e. on 08.05.2023,05.06.2023, 04.09.2023, 16.10.2023, 04.12.2023, 22.01.2024 & 29.01.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 29th day of January, 2024, the PIO present during the hearing but the appellant Shri Chapo Yangfo found again absent.

The PIO intimated to the Commission that he has already furnished all the information to the appellant as sought in his Form 'A' application. And, the appellant has also conducted the site inspection as per his request of the appellant.

During the hearing, the Commission tried to contact the appellant through audio hearing to hear him but the appellant did not responded the call.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant remained absent during the hearing. Moreover, he failed to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission and he remained absent at his own will for two consecutive times during the hearing i.e. dated on 22.01.2024 & 29.01.2024, inspite of the direction of the Commission to him to be present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on 29/01/2024 failing which his appeal shall be decided ex-parte & closed & disposed of but he failed to comply the direction of the Commission and to the effect that the Appellant also failed to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission.

Moreover, he remained silent though he was intimated well in advance, if he remained absent twice during the hearing his appeal shall be decided ex-parte and disposed of .

So, I find that the appellant is no more interest on the APIC No-233/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 29^{th} day of January, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 29th day of January, 2024.

Sd/(Sonam Yudron)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
Dated Itanagar, the 1. February 2024.

Memo.No.APIC-233/2023/ / 3 66 Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum- District Forest Officer, Seppa, East Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.

1. Shri Chapo Yangfo, Type-II Colony Seppa, PO/PS, Seppa, East Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.

7. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.

4. Office Copy.

Registration Region