

NACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC ITANAGAR

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No. Appeal-53/2025.

BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Shri Riya Taram, Bengia Tahar & Lokam Namdu

Appellant

Versus

PIO-cum-Divisional Forest Officer.

Likabali Forest Division,

Lower Siang District

Respondent

Date of hearing

17/09/2025

Date of decision/Judgment:

17/09/2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER: Shri Khopey Thaley

Relevant facts emerging from Appeal:

RTI application file on

16/10/2024

PIO replied on

First appeal file on

20/11/2024

First Appellate Authority's order:

2nd Appeal dated

13/01/2025

Information sought:

The appellant file a RTI Application dated 16/10/2024 seeking Details regarding information against the released of fund amounting to Rs. 1506.37204 lakhs of LOC for the month of March, 2024 to DFO,s Account for proper implementation of the items under CA, WLM & NPV components of the State CAMPA AOP Vol-I & II during 2023-2024 under the Environment & Forest & Climate Change as per sanction order.

As per the case record, PIO did not furnish the sought information.

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal dated 20/11/2024. No hearing has been conducted by the First Appellate in this regard. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with instant Second Appeal.

The following were present.

Appellant

Shri Riya Taram present in person.

Respondent:

PIO-cum-DFO, Likabali Forest Division absent.

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Riya Taam, Shri Bengia Tahar and Shri Lokam Namdu on 16.10.2024 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-DFO, Likabali Forest Division, Lower Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.11.2024, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 13.01.2025 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 53/2025 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for one time i.e on 17/09/2025. In this hearing of the appeal on 17th day of September, 2025, the appellant Shri Riya Taram present in person but the PIO-cum-Divisional Forest Officer, Likabali Forest Division found absent without any intimation to the Commission. The appellant is directed to file before the F.A.A for the information under Section 6 of RTI Act which he is seeking. The FAA-cum-Chief Conservator of Forest (CCF) Central Arunachal Circle (CAC), Pasighat East Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is directed to take up case and dispose as per Section-7 of RTI Act, 2005 within 30 days on receipt of the request.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the State Govt., adjudication on the appeals under the RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the part of the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the orders of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2nd Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently.

Here, it is germane to note that for availing 2nd appeal before the 2nd Appellate Authority, the Appellant has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The 2nd appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The appeal is accordingly remand back to the First Appellate Authority for adjudication and passing an appropriate order who, being the officer senior in rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by appellant in his application, whether the same and could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 of the Act or whether the information relates to matter covered by Section 11 of the RTI Act etc. and then pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Therefore, perusing the case records, the Commission deemed fit to remand back he appeal case APIC No. 53/2025 to First Appellate Authority for proper hearing. The case is disposed of with liberty to appellant to prefer second appeal if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority for which no fees need be paid.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 17th day of September, 2025. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 17th day of September, 2025.

> Sd/-(Khopey Thaley) State Information Commissioner

Memo.No.APIC-53/2025/ 794

Copy to:

1. The FAA-cum-Chief Conservator of Forest, Central Arunachal Circle, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for kind information and necessary action please.

2. The PIO-cum-Divisional Forest officer, Likabali Forest Division, Lower Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh for kind information and necessary action please.

3. Shri Riya Taam, Shri Bengia Tahar and Shri Lokam Namdu, Huto Colony, Jollang, C/o Riang Store, Near Cahtolic Church, Jollang, PO/PS, Itanagar, Papum Pare District for information & necessary action. Contact No. 9383103387/9402443699

4. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.

5. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar APIC-Itanagar

Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanasar