



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-372/2026.

APPELLANT : Shri Yukar Rajen, Chandranagar near Pari Parlo.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Capital Division-A Itanagar.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Yukar Rajen for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Capital Division-A Itanagaras sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 06.03.2026.

A) Particular of information :

1. c/o road from Mowb-II near CM Bungalow to Niya colony, in the year 2016-17.
2. c/o new road from CM Bungalow to Niya colony, including, c/o RCC Culvert and protection wall, in the year 2024-25.

B) Details of Information:

1. Please provide copy of NIT, published on various mediums:
2. Please provide Detailed Project Report (DPR/TS (Technical Sanctioned) copies.
3. Cheque leaf/electronic transaction and others, payment (5) made to contractor (S).
4. Balance amount remaining with the Divisional Office.
5. Please provide Measurement Book (MB). books of the said projects.
6. Account register maintained while payment has been made@ per GFR, CPWD work Manual.
7. Bills paid to contractor(S)/sub-contractor(s) phase-wise.
8. Provide bill register, contractor ledger, register of works, materials account and cashbook@ per CPWD and other(S) guidelines.
9. All documents of the tender participants/bidders submitted while participating.
10. Provide monthly, quarterly and annually progress reports of the works progressed, with geo-tagged photographs and video graphs of the works.
11. Geo-tagged photographs and video graphs of the site.
12. Work completion reports and utilization certificates.
13. Total numbers of contractor(S) and Sub-contractor(S) and also their Firm(S) details.
14. The name and designation of officials involved in the said projects.
15. Total area/length-breadth of the said projects.
16. Copy of NOC and forest clearance and project justification letter for the construction of the above mentioned projects and also letter stating whether the mentioned project sites falls under/within/peripheral of the Itanagar-Wild Life sanctuary/Durpang RF.
17. Copy of detailed environmental impact assessment against the said projects.
18. Copy of EC and maps depicting the sanctuary and diversion proposal included.
19. Mitigation plan(5) details

20. Provide mitigation measures against mitigation plan duly approved by Chief Wild Life Warden, Arunachal Pradesh; Gol and Central Ministry of Forest-environment and climate Change and also from Wild Life Protection Board.
 21. Please provide the NOC obtained from Ministry of Forest-Environment and Climate Change and Wild Life Protection Board for carrying out Survey and the execution of the said projects.
 22. Please provide detailed, quality (grade) of cements, steel bars, aggregates etc used with quality test reports.
 23. Details of Experienced/Credential, certificates of the firm (S)/ contractor(S) for carrying out such amount/type(S) of projects previously.
 24. Detailed total length of road/nos. and length of culverts/nallah/drainage/walls etc.
 25. Total length of blacktopping/rigid/cc roads till date.
 26. Site verification reports etc.
- (C) Period for which information asked for: 2016-17 and 2024-26 respectively.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal:

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Shri Yukar Rajen had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information/documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to appeal before the Superintending Engineer, Capital Circle, PWD, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide his Memo of Appeal dtd. 09.04.2026.

The records also reveal that the FAA, the Superintending Engineer, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar, had conducted the hearing on 27.04.2026 and passed the following order:

"JUDGEMENT/ORDER"

This is an appeal filed under sub-section 19 (3) of RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri. Yukar Rajen had filed 03 RTI applications dated 06.03.2026 in Form-A to the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Capital Division-A, PWD, Itanagar seeking various information regarding "(1) C/o Road from Mob-II near CM Bungalow to Niya Colony, in the year 2016-17, (2) C/o New road from Chief Minister Bungalow to Niya Colony, including C/o RCC culvert and protection wall in the year 2024-26", and other details as quoted in Form-A applications.

Appellant being not provided with the requisite information by the respondent PIO had filed the First appeal dated 09.04.2026 and accordingly the matter was heard before Er. Kipa Nakup, AE, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar, being the representative of FAA-cum-SE, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar on 27.04.2026 in the presence of Er. Gyamar Taka, Junior Engineer, CSD 6/A, PWD, being the representative of the respondent PIO and appellant Shri. Yukar Rajen.

Heard the parties present.

In this hearing, the representative of the respondent PIO presented only the NIT and TS of the concerned works out of the total 26 information sought in the RTI application, resulting in incomplete RTI response.

Hence, as per the request of the appellant for complete information production, it is hereby directed to the respondent PIO to provide all the available information to the appellant with a clear check list of the information being provided with proper annexure and indexing of the documents.

The information may be provided by the respondent PIO to the appellant within 15 days from the date of issue of this judgment order

In view of above facts and circumstances I find this appeal is fit to be disposed off and closed with liberty to the appellant to file appeal to the Appellate Authority if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the information provided through this hearing and, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed once for all.

Sd/-

*FAA-cum-Superintending Engineer
PWD, Capital Circle, Itanagar"*

However, the appellant failed yet again to obtain the information and therefore, he preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act. 2005 vide his Memo of appeal dt. 18.05.2026.

Hearing and decision:

This appeal is, accordingly, heard today on 12.08.2026 wherein the appellant, Shri Yukar Rajen is represented by Shri Birendra Tallang, duly authorised in this behalf and the PIO is represented in person by Er. Ms. Meku Taffo Sonam, ASW.

Heard the parties.

The representative of the appellant submitted that the o/o the PIO had furnished the documents in respect of Sl. No.2 (copies of Detailed Project Report (DPR/Technical Sanction) but complained that the bid documents submitted by the winning firm do not include some of the essential requirements of tender participation which was in violation of the terms and conditions of tender. He, However, could not indicate the specific conditions of tender for which pleaded for a direction to the PIO to furnish the copies of tender documents.

This Commission, however, feels that the appellant's query /complaint would be resolved if the appellant is furnished with the portion of tender documents containing various terms and condition of tender participation so as to enable him to point out specific shortcomings in the bid documents submitted by the bidders and as such the PIO is directed to furnish the copy of terms and conditions of the bid to the appellant within 1(one) week from the date of receipt of this order and thereafter, the appellant shall, within 1(one) week, intimate to the PIO, the specific shortcoming in the bid documents submitted by the firm(s). The PIO shall also, in the meanwhile, furnish the information/documents requested at Sl.No. (1) and Sl.Nos (3) to (25). The APIO submitted that the collation of information would take some time, particularly, the documents / information pertaining to c/o road from Mowb-II near CM Bungalow to Niya colony in the year 2016-17 which was constructed 9-10 years back and also that the staff / officials who handled the project are no longer there.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties, directs the PIO to furnish the information/documents pertaining to c/o road from Mowb-II near CM Bungalow to Niya colony in the year 2016-17 within 2 months from the date of receipt of this order and the information as respects c/o new road from CM Bungalow to Niya colony, including, c/o RCC Culvert and protection wall, in the year 2024-25 within the time line indicated at preceding para with intimation to this Commission for further consideration of the appeal.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission this 12th August, 2026.

Sd/-

(SANGYAL TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar

Memo No. APIC- 372/2026 *1822* **Dated Itanagar, the** *12* **Aug., 2026**

Copy to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer (PWD) Capital Circle Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Capital Division-A Itanagar PIN: 791111 for information and compliance.
3. Shri Yukar Rajen, Chandranagar near Pari Parlo PIN: 791111 Mobile No. 7005961116 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

P. Sangyal Tsering Bappu
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar