



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 740/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Nabam Tadap, Langdung Village.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (RWD), Yupia Division, A.P.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Nabam Tadap for non-furnishing of the following 16(sixteen) point information regarding the fund allocated for construction of bridges these 3 rivers are (i) Hollongi (ii) Chanmara (iii) Chessa, Govt. of A.P by the PIO, o/o the EE (RWD), Yupia Division, Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005, vide his application, dated 24.07.2025.

- a. Please furnish/provide certified copy how many times fund received /allocated, along with mentioned name of the scheme from Government of Arunachal Pradesh/India for construction of 3(three) numbers of bridges against these rivers are (i) Hollongi river(ii) chanmara river(iii)chessa river.
- b. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the DPR/Sanctioned order copy/Administrative Approval copy.
- c. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the successful bidder bid documents (i) Contractors registration/enlistment copy (il) Trading license, (ii)ST Certificate (iv) PRC Certificate (v)GST registration with latest return filling copy Contractor (vi) Pan card (Vii) Voter I.D (viii) Aadhar card (ix) ITR return filling copy (x) EPF registration with Latest return filling copy(xi) Non litigation affidavit copy.(xii) Affidavit not engage more than three work.
- d. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the official order inviting the firms/contractors for attending/participation of the tender process/called e-tender and also furnish copy of local/news paper published aforesaid-NIT.
- e. Please furnish/provide certified copy of how many firms were qualified for technical bid and also how many firms has been recommended for price bid to set screening or scrutiny along with showing rate quoted by various firms.
- f. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the Board proceeding for selection/acceptance bidders in connection with aforesaid contract work and other letter of correspondences.
- g. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the bill of quantity (BOQ) along with comparative statement of L,1&L,2 bidders.
- h. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the Board proceeding for selection/acceptance bidders in connection with aforesaid contract work and other letter of correspondences.
- i. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the work agreement made between contractors/firms and Rural Work Department.

- j. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the work completion report copy along with photograph submitted by concern competent authority against aforementioned bridges details.
- k. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the how many amount has been made payment so far against different heads of the works completed details.
- l. Please furnish/provide certified true copy of counter foil/challan/cheque issue and cheque number /PMFS Generated print payment Advise in respect of above-mentioned works/firm.
- m. Please furnish/provide certified copy of the monitoring team report copy submitted against cited project along with copy of CD/Videography which was done by the monitoring team against the various components of work during the course of made to the contractor/agency.
- n. Furnished the utilization certificate.
- o. Furnish the copy of 1" Geo tag & 2" Geo tag photo copy.
- p. Furnish the status report copy of the aforementioned bridges and also whether the b) payment has been made completed or not? & furnish the details.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal and decision:

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Shri Nabam Tadap had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information/documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to appeal before the Superintending Engineer (RWD), Itanagar Circle, Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide his Memo of Appeal dt. 28.08.2025. However, the appellant failed yet again to obtain the information and therefore, he preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act. 2005 vide his Memo of appeal dt. 03.10.2025.

This appeal was heard 2 (two) times on 11.02.2026 and 10.04.2026.

On 11.02.2026, this Commission upon hearing the parties and noticing that the requested information/documents by the appellant vide Sl.No.16 i.e the status report of the bridges and the payment thereto were not exempted under any of the exemption clauses of section 8(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, directed the PIO, vide order dt.11.02.2026, to furnish the said left out information to the appellant as per his query within 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of the said order which was delivered to the PIO on 13.02.26 through Whatsapp.

The Commission, however, received letter dated 27th Feb. 2026 from the appellant, Shri Nabam Tadap complaining that the PIO has not complied with the order of this Commission and hence, requested for hearing the appeal again.

In the premises as above the PIO, o/o the EE, RWD, Yupia Division was directed to furnish the left out information i.e Sl.No.16(status report of the bridges and the payment thereto) at right earnest. This Commission, however, made it clear that as required by law under section -7(8)(i) of the RTI Act when an information is denied to the applicant, the reason thereof has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction. And as mandated by section-18(3)(c) and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, the PIO was directed to furnish his submission/reply by way of an affidavit and report the compliance therewith today on 10th April, 2026.

Today on 10.04.2026, both the appellant and the PIO are absent without any intimation which has been viewed seriously by this Commission, particularly, the absence of the appellant.

The absence of the appellant led this Commission presume that he has received the left out information from the PIO and therefore, not interested in pursuing this appeal. As such, this Commission, concludes that this appeal does not require any further adjudication in this Commission and resultantly, it stands disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 10th April, 2026.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-740/2025/1205 Dated Itanagar, the April, 2026

Copy to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer (RWD), Itanagar Circle, Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A) for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P, Yupia Division (Pin code-791111), Itanagar for information.
3. Shri Nabam Tadap, PO/PS-Balijan, Papum Pare District (Pin code-791123), (Phone No.9436013355) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy

Pragya
13/04/26
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar