



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER  
No.APIC-614/2022 Dated, Itanagar the 30<sup>th</sup> January', 2024.

**Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005**

**Appellant**

Shri Bamang Tagung,  
Naharlagun, Papunallah,  
ICR, AP.

**Respondent**

PIO-cum-Executive Engineer,  
WRD, Roing Division, AP.

Vs

**JUDGEMENT ORDER**

An appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from **Shri Bamang Tagung, Press Colony, Papunallah, PO/PS-Naharlagun, ICR, Arunachal Pradesh**, for non-furnishing of information, by **The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Water Resource Department, Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh**, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 02.06.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

***"Details information of PMKSY(HKPP) under surface minor irrigation(SMI) Scheme for Financial Year: 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020".***

**The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form - A'.**

The 1<sup>st</sup> hearing was held on 17<sup>th</sup> day of November' 2022. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. Er. Hage Taro, JE represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. The APIO furnished the documents sought by the appellant before the Court itself. The appellant received the same. The Court directed the appellant to go through the documents received and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before next date of hearing fixed on 23<sup>rd</sup> December' 2022.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing was held on 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December' 2022. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The case was heard ex-parte. The appellant informed the Court that the documents furnished by the PIO were in-complete. On this the Court directed that the PIO has to furnish complete documents to the appellant on or before next date of hearing fixed on 15<sup>th</sup> February' 2023.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing was held on 15<sup>th</sup> February' 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. Er. Vinod Kumar, JE represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. Heard both the parties. The informations which weren't available with the PIO had been intimated to the appellant with its reasoning and the rest had been provided to the appellant during the Court proceedings itself. The representative of the PIO has been directed to sworn-in an affidavit for the N/A documents and also the information which are provided to the appellant be done with proper CTC while re-furnishing. Accordingly, the Court fixed next date of hearing for the case on 01<sup>st</sup> March' 2023.

The 4<sup>th</sup> hearing was held on 01<sup>st</sup> day of March' 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The APIO Tumpi Ete ASW had forwarded a letter through whatsapp on dated 27<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2023 informing that the Summon Order for hearing fixed on 01<sup>st</sup> March' 2023 had been received by their Office lately. So the documents sought by the appellant couldn't be furnished and pleaded the Court for a week's time to furnish the documents. As such, the Court fixed another date of hearing for the case on 26<sup>th</sup> April' 2023.

The 5<sup>th</sup> hearing was held on 26<sup>th</sup> day of April' 2023. Both the parties were absent, Since the parties were absent the matter couldn't be discussed further. As such the Court fixed another date of hearing for the case on 24<sup>th</sup> May' 2023.

The 6<sup>th</sup> hearing was held on 24<sup>th</sup> day of May' 2023. Both the parties were absent. The appellant was heard over phone, where he informed that he hasn't received the affidavit form from the PIO till date. therefore, the Court directed the PIO to provide the affidavit in original to the appellant and a copy of the same be furnished to the Commission with necessary correction on or before next date of hearing fixed on 07<sup>th</sup> June' 2023.

The 6<sup>th</sup> hearing was held on 07<sup>th</sup> day of June' 2023. Both the parties were absent. The Court seriously viewed the absence of the appellant for 02(two) consecutive terms without any intimation to the Court and assumed that the appellant is no more interested to pursue the case further. As such, the Court directed that the case will be disposed of and no such hearings for this case will be entertained further in the Commission's Court.

*Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed off as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.*

**Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 30<sup>th</sup> day of January' 2024.**

Sd/-

(GUMJUM HAIDER)

State Information Commissioner,

APIC, Itanagar.

Dated, Itanagar, the 30 January' 2024.

Memo.No.APIC- 614/2022/1287

Copy to:-

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Water Resource Department, Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
2. Shri Bamang Tagung, Press Colony Papunallah, PO/PS-Naharlagun, ICR, PIN – 791110, Arunachal Pradesh, PH- 8131848230, for information & necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office copy.

Registrar/Dy.Registrar,

APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar