



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-404/2024

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Mamu Sono

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum- Director of Land Management
Itanagar, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order:20.11.2025.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Mamu Sono on 14/6/2024 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- Director Land Management, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26/7/2024, the Appellant again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 5/12/2024 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC No-404/2024(Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 1st hearing on 13/03/2025 & 20/11/2025.

In the 2nd hearing held on 20th November 2025, related the APIC-404/2024. The Appellant Shri Mamu Sono absent during the hearing without intimating the reason for his inability to attend the hearing, to the Commission. The Appellant consecutively absent in two hearings though notice of hearing was issued to him on 24/03/2025 and on 20/08/2025.

Heard the PIO

The PIO stated that all the information(s) as sought by the Appellant has already been provided to him on 24/08/2025. The PIO state that the information have been provided to the Appellant. The Appellant has collected it personally office of the PIO.

After hearing the PIO the Commission hereby observes;

- i) The Appellant was absent in the last hearing also which was the 1st hearing of this appeal on 12/8/2025 on today in the 2nd hearing also the Appellant is absent given despite the notice given to him from the Commission 12/8/2025.
- ii) The submission for the PIO is particular and this will be the proof that the Appellant has been provided the complete information and he is no more to attending the hearing after receipt of the information(s).

Under above circumstances and facts that the Commission in the even by the APIO furnished all the information to the Appellant and Appellant received the information from the PIO and therefore the Appellant consecutively absent in the hearing it is presumed that the Appellant has received the information as applied completely from the PIO. Therefore, there is not to need to further hearing of this appeal that the Commission disposed this appeal and closed it once for all.

Judgment order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 20th day of November 2025, copy of judgment order be furnished to the both parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 12th day of November' 2025.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-404/A/2024

1990

Dated Itanagar, the 26th November, 2025.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-O/O Director Land Management, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791111**
2. Shri Mamu Sono, Sood Village, PO/PS-Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9436215521**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar