



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-322/2025

APPELLANT
RESPONDENT

: Shri Takio Taju, Lekha Village, Doimukh.
: The PIO, o/o the Director, Department of
Panchayati Raj, Govt. of A.P Itanagar.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Takio Taju for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the Director of (PR), Department of Panchayati RAJ, Govt. of A.P Itanagaras sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their application dated 25.11.2024.

- A) Particulars of information: Seeking information pertaining to advertisement and Appointment of 100 JE posts, 100 UDC posts and other skilled and unskilled posts of Drivers and MTS approved and sanctioned in vide file No. DPR- 11/29/2022 (Computer No. 87910) dt. 16.10.2024.
- B) Details of information Required:
- Copy of advertisement published in the local newspaper.
 - Copies of the appointment order
 - Complete file noting copy.
 - Copy of Recruitment Rule for the appointment.
- C) Period for which information is required: 2024

Brief facts emerging from the appeal:

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the Appellant, Shri Takio Taju had requested the PIO, the Dy. Director (RE) (PR) for the aforementioned information / documents in response to which the PIO vide letter dated 26th November, 2024 intimated the appellant that as per the information collected from the Dy. Director (Estt) (PR), the department has not placed any advertisement & appointment of 100 JE posts, 100 UDC posts and other skilled and unskilled posts of Drivers & MTS in this directorate.

Dissatisfied with the response of the PIO, the appellant filed his 1st appeal before the Director (Panchayati Raj), Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his Memo of Appeal dt. 17.12.2024 whereupon the FAA conducted the hearing on 3rd April, 2025 wherein both the parties were present in person. The FAA after hearing the parties disposed of the appeal with the remark that *"there was no solid substances from either of the side to hold the case for further course of action."*

Hence, this second appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide memo of appeal dated 11.04.2025.

Hearing and decision:

This appeal was, thus, heard on 26th Sept., 2025, wherein the appellant Shri Takia Taju accompanied by his counsel, Advocate, Shri Tai Shiva was present in person and the PIO, Shri Nabam Rajesh, DD(RE)(PR) was also present in person.

This Commission, upon hearing the PIO and on perusal of the copy of department's note sheet in their e-file containing the FD's note conveying the approval of the Empowered Committee's recommendation for creation of 100 posts each of JE and UDC in the department, noticed that the proposal for creation of said 200 posts, in fact, has the recommendation of the Empowered Committee. But as submitted by the PIO, the proposal has to be approved by the cabinet whereafter only the notification sanctioning the posts would be issued with due concurrence of the FD.

In the premises as above, this Commission accepted the reasons as to why the PIO could not furnish the requested documents to the appellant. However, in order that the appellant is provided with the factual position to his full satisfaction, the PIO was directed to furnish the copy of department's proposal to the cabinet cell/department for creation of the said 200 posts and also the latest status thereof. The PIO was also directed to furnish the copies of existing R/Rs to the said post of JE and UDC, if available within 1(one) week from the receipt of this Commission's order dt. 29.09.2025.

The appellant was also directed to intimate this Commission of the compliance of the order by the PIO within 1(one) week. And as directed the appellant, vide his letter dt.07.10.2025, had complained that the PIO did not furnish the information.

This Commission, vide order dt. 07.10.2025, hence, directed the PIO to comply with the direction of this Commission passed in the order 29.09.2025 within 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of the order dt. 07.10.25 and report compliance in the next hearing on 7th November, 2025.

This appeal is, accordingly, listed and heard for the 2nd time today on 07.11.2025 wherein the appellant is absent but the PIO, Shri Nabam Rajesh is present with the copy of letter dt.14.10.2025 whereby the documents (affidavit and R/Rs to the post of UDC) has been furnished to the appellant which have been perused by this Commission. The contents of the affidavit dt.14.10.2025 reads as under:

"I, Shri Nabam Rajesh, Public Information Officer-cum-Deputy Director (RE), Department of Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar do hereby solemnly affirm on oath as follows under:

1. That I am the respondent, as representing the Directorate of Panchayati Raj Department as being a Public Information Officer in this appeal Case APIC No. 322/2025.

*2. The replies of the RTI information sought by the applicant is as under:
The proposal for creation of 100 post of JE and 100 post of UDC which was approved/ recommended by the Empower Committee was placed before the cabinet for approval as suggested by the Finance Department vide date 25/10/2024.*

However, the Cabinet decided to constitute a committee under the chairmanship of Chief Secretary to examine the proposal.

Accordingly, the proposal was placed before the Committee and the matter is still under examination.

In view of the above the replies to the queries are as under:

Sl.No.	Queries	Reply
i)	Copy of advertisement published in the local news paper	Does not arise
ii)	Copies of the appointment orders	Does not arise
iii)	Complete file noting copy	Enclosed Note # 86 (Page -27/Ns to till date.
iv)	Copy of Recruitment Rule of the appointment	Rule of JE not yet framed since the post has not been sanctioned yet. However, the Recruitment Rule for the post of UDC is enclosed.

3. Verified that the statements are as per records collected from the Establishment Section. I do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that above Para. 1 to 3 are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I have put my hand of this affidavit in fit state of mind.

Enclo: Note & R R.

Sd/-

Deponent."

This Commission, on careful consideration of the contents of the affidavit sworn in and the enclosure therewith as furnished by the PIO, notices that the replies to the queries of the appellant have been adequately furnished and accordingly, holds that this appeal does not call for any further adjudication by this Commission and resultantly it stands disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 7th Nov., 2025.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 322/2025/1952 Dated Itanagar, the 11 Nov., 2025

Copy to:

1. The Director of Panchayati Raj, Govt. of A.P Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the Director of (PR), Department of Panchayati RAJ, Govt. of A.P Itanagar PIN: 791111 for information.
3. Shri Takio Taju, Lekha Village, Doimukh, PO/PS Doimukh Mobile No. 8119826067 PIN: 791112 for information.
4. ✓ The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.