



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)  
ITANAGAR**

.....  
(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)

**An Appeal Under Section 19 (3) of RTI Act, 2005.**

APIC-No.95/2023(Appeal)

Sh. Lobsang Tenzin, C/o Sh. Nima  
Wangdi, Village-Gongkhar, PO:  
Mukto, Tawang Dist. Arunachal  
Pradesh. (M) 7629964964. **Pin:**  
**790104.**

Appellant

**-Versus-**

The PIO-O/o Executive Engineer,  
Lhou Civil Division, Dept. of Hydro  
Power Development Lhou, Tawang  
District Arunachal Pradesh.  
**Pin: 790104.**

Respondent

**Date of hearing: 13.10.2023**

**Date of decision:13.10.2023.**

**FACTS OF THE CASE:**

The appellant filed an RTI application dated **29.06.2022** seeking information pertaining to construction of Shikangchu Hydro power project at Gongkhar Village etc. The respondent PIO-Cum-EE, Lhou power division did not reply. Aggrieved appellant filed the First Appeal on **12.12.2023**. The First Appellate Authority's (FAA) did not pass any order on the First Appeal. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, the appellant filed the Second Appeal **before** the commission.

**FACTS EMERGING DURING THE HEARING:**

After receipt of the second Appeal Notices were issued to the parties to appear on **24.03.2023**.

None appeared on **24.03.2023**. Due to the absence of parties case was adjourned to 26.05.2023.

The appellant appeared on 26.05.2023 and submitted that the PIO had provided partial information. He had requested the commission to pass an order directing PIO to furnish the information sought in point number (7),(8),(14),(15) (18) and (19) of his application. The PIO submitted that information which was available with him had already been provided to the appellant. The commission after considering the submissions of both the parties and perusal of record directed the PIO to furnish complete information and appear on 11.08.2023.

The appellant **Shri Lobsang Tanzin** appeared on 11.08.2023 and submitted that the PIO did not furnish the complete information in inspite of the orders of the commission. The PIO submitted that he had already furnished all available information to the appellant. He had however, agreed to make another effort to find out the remaining information sought in point no. (7)(8) and (15) of the application. The commission adjourned the hearing of the case to 13.10.2023 with directions to the PIO to provide complete information.

None appears during the hearing scheduled today despite prior information. However, the commission has received a letter dated 28.09.2023 from the appellant. Perusal of the written submissions sent by the appellant shows that the appellant has received complete information from the PIO.

**DECISION:**

Keeping in view the facts of the case and the written submissions received from the appellant, the commission is of the opinion that complete information, though late, has been provided by the respondent PIO. The appellant in his letter has showed satisfaction with the information provided. Hence, no further intervention of the commission is required in the matter. The instant appeal stands **disposed of accordingly**.

Copy of this order be supplied to the parties.


Sd/-  
(Genom Tekseng)  
Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-95/2023/1071

Dated Itanagar the 25<sup>th</sup> October' 2023.

Copy to:

- ✓ 1. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website please.
2. Office copy.

  
Registrar/Dy.Registrar,  
APIC, Itanagar  
Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar