



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 798/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Donik Roru and Khyoda Tacha, Jully Colony,
Itanagar.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the Dy. Director (RD), Kra Daadi District.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Donik Roru and Khyoda Tacha for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the Dy. Director (RD), Govt. of A.P, Kra Daadi District, Palin as sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 18.05.2025.

A. Particulars of information: Under DC Unit Fund, C/o Porter Track from Gallang Village to Nyoya under Chambang Circle, Kra Daadi District 2022-2023.

B. Details of Information: -

1. Details of project reports.,
2. Technical sanction order copies with LOC.,
3. NIT called for with details of contractors.,
4. Money receipts with cheque counterfoils of the contractors.,
5. Complete reports with details of first and final bills.,
6. Details of photographs before, during and after.,
7. Utilization certificate.,
8. Work Progress report copy.,
9. Site inspection report copy.,
10. Geo-tag report copy.,
11. GST and returned file copy.,
12. Trading License and proprietary name list copy.,
13. Tender participate list copy.,
14. Advertisement in local news paper copy.,
15. PFMS Xerox copy.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal:

Records in the appeal revealed that the appellants had filed their RTI application dt.08.05.2025 through the Post Office but there is no record of the receipt of the application by the o/o the PIO. However, the appellants, filed their 1st appeal before the Deputy Commission, Kra-Daadi, District, Palin, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide their Memo of Appeal dt.30.07.25, apparently, due to non-receipt of the information from the o/o the PIO.

The appellants filed their 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act vide Memo of Appeal dt.22.10.2025 on failing to obtain positive response from the FAA.

It was, however, noticed from the appeal that the D.C, the FAA did not adjudicate on the appeal as mandated under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005. As such this appeal was remanded to the D.C, Kra-Daadi, Palin, the FAA vide this Commission's order dt.27.02.2026 for adjudication and passing an appropriate order in speaking form within 1(one) month from the date of receipt of this order. The appellants were also directed that if they felt aggrieved by the decision of the FAA, they may prefer their 2nd appeal before this Commission within 90(ninety) days from the date of the FAA's order for which no fees need be paid to this Commission.

This Commission, in the meantime received a letter dt. 03.07.2026 from one of the appellants, namely, Shri Donik Roru complaining that the o/o the Deputy Commissioner, Kra Daadi district, Govt. of A.P., Palin, the FAA did not take any action on the appeal despite the direction from this Commission and therefore requested for admitting and hearing the appeal. The extract of the appellants' letter is as under:

"Sir,

With due respect and humble submission, I would like to you to inform you that the PIO, PD, (DRDA) and DC, Kra-Daadi District, has not taken any response thus far for furnishing information u/s section of RTI Act, 2005 as related to the aforementioned APIC No. That's why being sought information from your esteemed office.

Therefore, you're requested to kindly admit this and keep continued for hearing as mention above.

This is for kind information and necessary action please.

You're sincerely,

Sd/-

Shri Donik Roru"

Hearing and decision:

This appeal is, hence, listed today on 07.08.2026 with direction to the PIO to furnish the requested information to the appellants and report the compliance thereof in the hearing today.

Today on 07.08.2026, both the appellant, Shri Donik Roru and the PIO, Shri Nangbia Taya, Dy. Director (RD) (with the requested documents) are present in person.

The information/replies furnished by the PIO vide his letter dt.18.07.2026 are reproduced hereunder:

"Sir(s),

With reference to the subject cited above, and in compliance with the Hearing Order issued by the Deputy Commissioner-cum-First Appellate Authority, Kra Daadi District, the information/documents sought by you under the Right to Information Act, 2005, pertaining to the work "Deputy Commissioner Untied-Fund C/o Porter Track from Gallang Village to Nyoya under Chambang Circle, Kra Daadi District, for the year 2022-23," are hereby furnished below.

The Details of relevant records/documents are enclosed as Annexure-A
You are requested to acknowledge receipt of the enclosed document in our office copy.
Encl.: As above

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-
(Nangbia Taya)
Deputy Director
Rural Development Depart
Kra Daadi District, Palin."

Annexure-A

Statement showing details of documents being furnished under RTI Act.

Sl.No	Details of Document	Remark
1	Details of Project reports	Enclosed
2	Technical Sanction order copies with LOC	TS Copies Enclosed & LOC not applicable
3	NIT Called for with details of Contractor	NIT not Applicable being below 50.0 Lakhs
4	Money Receipts with Cheque counterfoils of the contractors	Enclosed/Cheque No.949724
5	Complete reports with first & final bills	Enclosed
6	Details of Photographs before, During and After	Enclosed as shown in the geotagged and attached photograph
7	Utilization Certificate	NA
8	Work Progress Reports	Same as Serial No. 9
9	Site Inspection Reports	Enclosed
10	Geo-Tagg Report Copy	Enclosed
11	GST and Returned File Copy	Enclosed
12	Trading License and Proprietary name list copy	Enclosed
13	Tender Participate List Copy	NA
14	Advertisement in local newspaper copy	NA
14	PFMS Xerox Copy	NA

Sd/-
Dy. Director
Rural Development Department."

During the course of hearing the appellant was handed over the documents / information brought in by the PIO. The appellant, however, complained that the PIO has not furnished the Utilization Certificate (Sl. No.7 of his application). As regards rest of the queries the appellant expressed his satisfaction on the information / replies furnished by the PIO. This Commission also found the replies/information to the rest of the queries to be in order and sufficient. However, as regards the U/Certificate (Sl.No.7), the PIO submitted that that the UC for implementation / execution of the projects/schemes under untied fund is issued by the o/o the DPO. Therefore, the PIO is directed to collect the UC from the o/o the DPO and furnish to the appellant within 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of this order with intimation to this Commission.

This appeal is disposed of and closed in above terms.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this August, 2026

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,

APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-798/2025

Dated Itanagar, the 12 Aug., 2026

Copy to:-

1. The Deputy Commissioner Kra Daadi District, Govt. of A.P, Palin, the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A) for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the Dy. Director (RD), Govt. of A.P, Kra Daadi District, Palin (Pin code-791118), for information.
3. Shri Donik Roru and Khyoda Tacha, Jully Colony Itanagar PO/PS-Itanagar (Pincode-791111), (Phone No.9366225805/6909446626) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy

P. S. Tsering Bappu
Registrar/Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar