



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No. APIC-752/2022

Dated, Itanagar the 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2024.

**Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005**

**Appellant**

**Shri Tai Patum  
vill-karda, Sippi  
Upper Subansiri District, AP**

**-V/S-**

**Respondent**

**PIO-cum-EE, PHE&WS  
Daporijo Division  
Upper Subansiri District, AP**

**JUDGEMENT ORDER**

This is an appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from **Shri Tai Patum, vill-karda, Sippi, Dist.- Upper Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh** for non-furnishing of information, by **the PIO-cum-EE, PHE&WS Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh**, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 15.07.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

**"JIM Daterijo & others for the period 2021 to 2022 (till date)."**

**The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form – A'.**

The 1<sup>st</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 08<sup>th</sup> Feb'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the PIO informed the Court that no such schemes had been implemented at Daterijo. The rest information as sought under JIM for the 2021-2022 would be furnished on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the appellant informed the Court that no information had been furnished by the PIO yet. The Court directed the PIO to do the same. Further, the PIO was also directed to pay a compensation amount of Rs. 10,000 to appellant for the losses and sufferings meted to appellant for filing this appeal.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of April'2023. The appellant was absent but the representative of the PIO was present. The case couldn't be heard.

The 4<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of June'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The Court/Commission seriously viewed the absence of the PIO without any intimation and Show Caused the PIO for his appearance on the next date of hearing of this case.

The 5<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of June'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the representative of the PIO assured the Court that the information as sought by the appellant would be provided to the appellant on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 6<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of July'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the information sought by the appellant were provided by the PIO during the Court proceedings itself. The appellant was directed to go through the same and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 7<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of Aug'2023. Both the parties were absent. Hence, the case couldn't be heard.

The 8<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2023. Appellant was present and the representative of the PIO Shri Hillan Raj, J.E(PHE&WS) Daporijo was also present. After hearing both the parties. The left-out information should be provided by the PIO to the Appellant on or before the next date of hearing of this case

The 9<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2023. The representative of the PIO was present but the appellant was absent. Therefore, the case couldn't be heard.

The 10<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2023. The appellant was absent, but he had sent an application intimating that he won't be able to attend today's hearing owing to some personal reasons. But, the representative of the PIO was present and submitted the document sought by the appellant the same would be provided to the appellant in due course.

The 11<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2023. The representative of the PIO Shri Hillan Raj, J.E(PHE&WS) Daporijo was present but the appellant was found absent for 02(two) consecutive hearing which indicated that he fully satisfied with the information provided by the PIO in its previous hearings and is not willing to pursue the case any further. Hence, the case is disposed of.

*Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.*

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 1<sup>st</sup> day February' 2024.

**Sd/-**  
**(GUMJUM HAIDER)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**APIC, Itanagar.**

Memo.No.APIC- 752/2022/ 1322  
Copy to: -

Dated, Itanagar, the 5<sup>th</sup> February'2024

1. The PIO-cum-EE, PHE&WS Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District, Pin-791122, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
2. Shri Tai Patum, vill-karda, Sippi, Dist.- Upper Subansiri, Ph.7085425013, Pin-791122 Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please
3. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office copy.

**Registrar/Dy. Registrar,**  
**APIC, Itanagar.**  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.