





## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No. APIC-304/2023

Dated, Itanagar the 2<sup>nd</sup> February'2024.

## Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

Respondent

Shri Yiter Lombi Library Guwahati High Court Itanagar Permanent Bench, Yupia, AP PIO –cum-EE PWD Basar Division Leparada District, AP

## JUDGEMENT ORDER

-V/S-

This is an appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from Shri Yiter Lombi, Library Guwahati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Yupia Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by the PIO –cum-EE, PWD Basar Division, Leparada District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 25.11.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"C/o Yagri to Essi Ritc Road Tirbin, Leparada District."

## The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form – A'.

The 1<sup>st</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The Court/Commission seriously viewed the absence of the PIO without any intimation and issued a Show Cause Notice to the PIO for his appearance on the next date of hearing of this case

The 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing of this case was held on 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2023(Wednesday) as scheduled. Appellant was absent but the representative of the PIO Shri Kenbom Nyodu, A.E-cum-APIO PWD Basar Division attended the case. During the hearing of this case, the representative of the PIO had submitted letters pertaining to this case to the court during the hearing of the court

The 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of Sept'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. During the hearing of this case, the appellant informed the Court/Commission that he was yet to receive the information sought from the PIO. hence, the PIO was directed to furnish the information as sought by the appellant on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 4<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case held on the 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2023. Both the parties were present. Heard both the parties. The PIO has been directed by the Court to furnish the information sought by the appellant in details addressed to the appellant properly. The appellant should go through the same and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 5<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The case was heard ex-parte. The PIO was directed by the Court to provide the information as sought by the appellant on or before the next date of hearing of this case fixed on the 20/12/2023 and if the PIO fails to provide the information as sought by the appellant, he would be penalized as per the relevant sections of the RTI Act,2005 for providing misleading information and defying the Court's order

The 6<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. During the hearing of this case, the PIO was contacted over the phone and was directed by the Court to provide the information as sought by the appellant through postal service and the receipt of the dame should be provided to the Commission's office on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 7<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case was held on 17<sup>th</sup> Jan, 2024. The appellant as well as the PIO was absent. Therefore, the case couldn't be heard. However, the appellant has informed that he has received the sought documents from the PIO and seek 15(fifteen) days' time to go through the same.

The 8<sup>th</sup> hearing of this case held on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of Jan'2024. Both the parties were absent. The appellant had informed before the previous hearing of this case that he had already received the information as sought by him from the PIO on 09/01/24 and that needs at least 15 days' time to go through the same. Since, the sought period has been completed and also, he was found absent in today's hearing. Therefore, the Court presumed that the appellant is fully satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and doesn't want to pursue this case further. Hence, the case is disposed of.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February'2024.

Sd/-(GUMJUM HAIDER) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC- 304/2023// 3/4 Copy to: -

Dated, Itanagar, the

February'2024.

- 1. The PIO –cum-EE, PWD Basar Division, Leparada District, Pin-791101, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- 2. Shri Yiter Lombi, Library Guwahati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Yupia, Ph. 8257875128/7085121910, Pin-791110, Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please
- The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please 4. Case File

Registrar/Dy. Registrar, APIC, Itanagar. Deputy Registrar

Arunacital Prodesh Information Commission Itanagar.