





ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No. APIC-126/2023

Dated, Itanagar the 1st February'2024.

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

Respondent

Shri Nikam Dabu C/o BBB Enterprises, H-Sector Itanagar, AP

-V/S-

PIO –cum-EE Dept. of UD & Housing, Daporijo Upper Subansiri District, AP

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o BBB Enterprises, H-Sector Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by the PIOcum-EE, Dept. of UD & Housing, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 08.12.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"Expenditure & Implementation under SADA/ ADA/ RIDF/ SIDF of entire Upper Subansiri District for the period 2014 to 2022 (till date)."

The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form – A'.

The 1st hearing for the case was held on the 14th of June'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the representative of the PIO informed the Court that the information sought by the appellant was available in the PIO's office. The Court directed the appellant to receive the same and should go through the same and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 2nd hearing for the case was held on the 5th day of July'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the representative of the PIO provided the information as sought by the appellant during the Court proceedings itself. The court directed the appellant to go through the same and inform his satisfaction/dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 3rd hearing of the case was held on the 2nd day of Aug'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the representative of the appellant informed the Court that information provided by the PIO were incomplete. The Court directed the appellant to provide the list of left-out information and the same should be provided by the PIO on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

The 4th hearing of this case was held on the 23rd day of August'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the Court Directed the PIO to provide left-out information on or before the next date of hearing g of this case.

The 5th hearing of this case was held on the 14th day of Sept'2023. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. During the hearing of this case the appellant informed the Court that the information furnished by the PIO was incomplete. The Court directed the PIO to provide the left-out information on or before the next date of hearing of this case.

Cont. page2/-

The 6th hearing of this case was held on the 18th day of Oct'2023. Both the parties were present. After hearing both the parties, the Court Directed the PIO to provide left-out information on or before the next date of hearing g of this case.

The 7th hearing of this case was held on the 16th day of Nov'2023. The appellant was absent but the representative of the PIO, Shri Likha Taku was present. During the hearing of this case, the representative of the PIO informed the Court that he had already provided the information to the appellant. But the appellant informed the Court that the information provided by the PIO wasn't clear. On this, the Court directed the representative of the PIO to bring the copy of the documents furnished in the next date of hearing of this case.

The 8th hearing of this case was held on the 20th day of Dec'2023. The representative of the appellant, Shri Tania June was present and the representative of the PIO, Shri Likha Taku was contacted over phone and was directed by the Court to provide the left-out information on or before the next hearing of this case.

The 9th hearing of this case was held on the 17th day of Jan'2024. Both the parties were absent. As the information sought by the appellant had already been provided by the PIO in its previous hearing and the appellant was found absent in today's hearing without any intimation, which seems that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and doesn't wish to pursue the case further. Hence, the case is disposed of.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 1st day of February'2024.

Sd/-(GUMJUM HAIDER) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC- 126/2023/13/8 Copy to: -

Dated, Itanagar, the

February'2024.

- 1. The PIO-cum-EE, Dept. of UD & Housing, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District Pin-791122, Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please.
- Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o BBB enterprises, H-Sector Itanagar, Pin-791122 Arunachal Pradesh, Ph.76400882060 for information & necessary action please
- 3. The Computer Operator/ Computer Programmer for uploading on the Website of APIC, please
- 4. Case File

Registrar/Dy. Registrar, APIC; Itanagar: ar Arunachal Prodesh Into Tourn Commission itanagai