

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005

Shri Jumge Riram

..... Complainant.

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-DFO, Pasighat, E/Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh

...... Respondent.

Judgment/Order:25.09.2023.

JUDGEMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (1) of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Complainant Shri Jumge Riram on 24.08.2021 filed an RTI application under Form-A before the PIO-Cum-DFO, Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh, whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. Complainant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information on 27.06.2022 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 382/2022** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 11 (Eleven) consecutive times on 29.8.2022, 19.09.2022, 17.10.2022, 21.11.2022, 23.01.2023, 06.03.2023, 24.04.2023, 22.05.2023, 26.06.2023, 07.08.2023 & 25.09.2023 In this final hearing of the appeal on 25^{th} day of September, 2023. Both the parties is found absent during the hearing.

After going through all the records/ document the Commission has found that as requested by the Complainant the PIO has furnished the information in the form of affidavit and submitted to the Commission office to hand over further to the Complainant but the Complainant remained absent during the hearing. So, the Commission sent the affidavit through whatsapp to the Complainant. And the Commission also directed the Complainant to collect the original affidavit from the office of the Commission through telephonic call from the Commission office & he is also intimated that since the PIO furnished all the information in the form of affidavit the above mentioned APIC-382/2022 (Complaint) find to fit for disposed of.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, the APIC-382/2022 (Complaint), I find to be fit for disposed of .

And therefore, the complaint is disposed of & closed once for all as infructuous to continue.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 25th day of Sept. 2023.

Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 25th day of Sept.2023.

Sd/-(Sonam Yudron) State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-382/2022//022 Copy to: Dated Itanagar, the 1.5... October, 2023.

- 1. PIO-Cum-DFO, Pasighat, E/Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- 2. Shri Jumge Riram, Bar Office, Gauhati High Court, Itanaagr Permanent Bench, Po/Ps-Naharlagun, P/ pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- 3. The Computer Operator/ Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.

4. Office Copy.

Arunachal Prasish Information Com-Itanagal Registrar/Dy. Registrar APIC, Itanagar