



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)**  
**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005  
Vide Case No.APIC-332/2022

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION**  
**COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Giogi Tallam.....**Appellant.**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-Jt. DSE, Education Office, Itanagar

Papum District, Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

**Judgment/Order: 16.10.2023.**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Giogi Talam on 24.01.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-A before the PIO-Cum-Jt. DSE Education Office, Building -1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Itanagar, Near Govt. of Higher Secondary School, Itanagar, P/pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.03.2023. Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 18.04.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 332/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for **3 (three) consecutive times on 05.06.2023, 04.09.2023 & 16.10.2023.** In this final hearing of the appeal on 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023. Both the parties is found absent during the hearing.

Moreover, the Commission also sent summon notice to the appellant dated on 10/10/2023 to present in person in the next date of hearing but, even then he failed to appear before the Commission on 16.10.2023.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant has remained absent in the hearing for two consecutive times w.e.f dated on 04.09.2023 & 16.10.2023 and to the effect that whether the Appellant has received all the information or not he has not intimated any of the reason to the Commission. In spite of repeated direction given by the Commission/Court in every hearing to intimate regarding his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of the information.

Therefore, the Commission believed/ assumed that the appellant is no more interested on the APIC No-332/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

And , accordingly, appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.

Sd/-

(Sonam Yudron)

State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Dated Itanagar, the ... 19<sup>th</sup> October, 2023

Memo.No.APIC-332/2023 11388

Copy to:

1. The PIO-Cum-Jt. Director of School Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Giogi Tallam, Polo Coloney, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, A.P for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Operator/Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission,  
Itanagar