



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC
ITANAGAR

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No. APIC-465/A/2025.



BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Shri Kumar Tayam..... Appellant
Versus
PIO-cum-Dy. Director, Panchayati Raj ,
Itanagar Respondent

Date of hearing : 09/02/2026
Date of decision/Judgment : 09/02/2026

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Shri Khopey Thaley
Relevant facts emerging from Appeal:

RTI application file on : 28/02/2025
PIO replied on :
First appeal file on : 10/04/2025
First Appellate Authority's order :
2nd Appeal dated : 19/05/2025

Information sought :

The appellant file a RTI Application dated 28/02/2025 seeking Details regarding details of Director, Jt. Director, Dy. Direction and Assistant Director, Panchayati Raj.

As per the case record, PIO did not furnish the sought information.

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal dated 10/04/2025. No any hearing has been conducted by the First Appellate Authority in his jurisdiction. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with instant Second Appeal.

The following were present.

Appellant : Shri Kumar Tayam present in person.
Respondent : PIO-cum-Dy. Director, PR, present in person.

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Kumar Tayam on **28/02/2025** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-Deputy Director, Panchayati Raj, Itanagar,, Papum Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in

Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10/04/2025, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 19/05/2025 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 465/A/2025 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 1 (one) time i.e on 09/02/2026. In this hearing of the appeal on 9th day of February, 2026, both the parties PIO-cum-Dy. Director, Panchayati Raj present in person and the appellant Shri Kumar Tayam present in person.

PIO has stated that whatever information sought from his department has already been provided. He showed all the receipt copies of appellant today and appellant also did not contest to it. Rest of the documents are available with the Personnel Department. The appellant is at liberty to file RTI Application before concern department.

It is seen that all the information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO. So the appeal case No. 465/A/2025 is deemed fit to close.

Accordingly, the appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 9th day of February, 2026. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 9th day of February, 2026.

Sd/-

(Khohey Thaley)

State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Dated Itanagar, the ..!..... February, 2026.

Memo.No.APIC -465/A/2025 /1073
Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Deputy Director, Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for information.
2. Shri Kumar Tayam, Chairman Communal Harmony (ANSU), Jami-Jate, 6 KM, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh for information. Contact No.6909606151
- ✓ 3. The Computer Programmer/Operator, for uploading on the website of APIC and mail.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar